

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Pam Matyasik
DOCKET NO.: 21-06631.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 06-17-102-013

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Pam Matyasik, the appellant, by attorney Brian S. Maher, of Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher in Chicago, and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$24,200 **IMPR.:** \$95,420 **TOTAL:** \$119,620

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a 1½-story dwelling of frame and aluminum construction containing 1,773 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1949. Features of the property include a basement that is partially finished, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a detached two-car garage with 480 square feet of building area. The property has a 12,606 square foot site and is in Lombard, York Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables.<sup>1</sup> The comparables are improved with 1½-story dwellings of frame and aluminum construction that ranged in size from 1,461 to 1,770 square feet of living area. The homes were

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Some of the descriptive information for the appellant's comparables was obtained from the evidence provided by the board of review which contained a grid analysis of the appellant's comparables.

built from 1949 to 1955. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, one comparable has central air conditioning, two comparables have a fireplace, and each comparable has a garage ranging in size from 440 to 528 square feet of building area. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$61,800 to \$67,710 or from \$38.25 to \$42.50 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$70,600.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$119,620. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$95,420 or \$53.82 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables. The comparables are improved with 1½-story dwellings of frame and aluminum construction that ranged in size from 1,638 to 1,825 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1942 to 1957. Each comparable has a basement with two having finished area, three comparables have central air conditioning, four comparables have one or two fireplaces, and each comparable has a garage ranging in size from 452 to 624 square feet of building area. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$92,570 to \$101,590 or from \$53.08 to \$57.08 per square foot of living area.

The grid analysis submitted by the board of review, which contained both the appellant's comparables and the board of review comparables, was prepared by the township assessor. The assessor stated that the subject property is in average condition whereas the appellant's comparables are in fair condition. In support of this statement the board of review submitted copies of the property record cards for the subject and all the comparables as well as copies of the Assessors IMS Valuation Worksheet for the subject and all the comparables. The valuation worksheets disclosed the subject and each of the board of review comparables received a rate code adjustment of 1.05 while each of the appellant's comparables received a rate code adjustment of .74. The differing rate codes reflect condition as stated by the assessor.

The board of review requested confirmation of the assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains eight comparables submitted by the parties to support their respective positions. The comparables are similar to the subject in location and are improved with homes similar to the subject dwelling in style and age. The record disclosed, however, the subject

property and the board of review comparables are assessed as being in average condition whereas the appellant's comparables are assessed as being in fair condition. The Board, therefore, gives less weight to the appellant's comparables for differences from the subject dwelling in condition and the fact that appellant's comparables #1 and #2 are less similar to the subject dwelling in size than the remaining comparables. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the comparables provided by the board of review as these properties are similar to the subject dwelling in condition, size and most features with the exception two of the comparables have no central air conditioning, one comparable has no fireplace, and three comparables have unfinished basements. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$92,570 to \$101,590 or from \$53.08 to \$57.08 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$95,420 or \$53.82 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kini	Swah Bobber
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 21, 2023
	Middle 14

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Pam Matyasik, by attorney: Brian S. Maher Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher 1 North LaSalle Street Suite 1500 Chicago, IL 60602-3992

# **COUNTY**

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187