



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: William Conroy III  
DOCKET NO.: 21-05641.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 11-13-130-020

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are William Conroy III, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$33,299  
**IMPR.:** \$201,854  
**TOTAL:** \$235,153

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1.5-story dwelling<sup>1</sup> of brick, wood siding, and stone exterior construction with 4,101 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2006. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, a 1,144 square foot garage, and an inground swimming pool. The property has an approximately 13,939 square foot site and is located in Geneva, Blackberry Township, Kane County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located within 0.33 of a mile from the

---

<sup>1</sup> The parties report either a 1.5-story or a 2-story design for the subject property in their respective grid analyses. The subject's property record card describes the subject as a 1.5-story dwelling. Therefore, the Board finds the subject to be 1.5-story in design.

subject. The parcels range in size from 11,999 to 15,413 square feet of land area<sup>2</sup> and are improved with 1.5-story homes of brick and wood siding or wood siding and stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 3,493 to 4,032 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 2000 or 2001. Each home has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 637 to 888 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from April to December 2020 for prices ranging from \$515,000 to \$669,900 or from \$147.44 to \$166.15 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$235,153. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$705,530 or \$172.04 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2021 three-year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.33% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales, together with a grid analysis of the appellant's comparables, a map depicting the locations of both parties' comparables in relation to the subject, and an aerial photo of the subject property. The board of review's comparables are located within 0.33 of a mile from the subject. Board of review comparable #2 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #2. The parcels have sites that range in size from 11,999 to 14,810 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story homes of brick and wood siding or brick, stone and wood siding exterior construction. The homes range in size from 3,671 to 4,032 square feet of living area and were built from 2001 to 2011. Each home has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a garage ranging in size from 698 to 811 square feet of building area. Comparable #1 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from July 2019 to December 2020 for prices ranging from \$669,900 to \$682,500 or from \$166.15 to \$185.92 per square foot of living area, including land.

The board of review submitted a letter from the township assessor's office asserting the assessor revalued all residential neighborhoods in the Mill Creek subdivision in 2019. The assessor argued the appellant's comparables differ from the subject in garage capacity and reported that appellant comparable #4 consists of two parcels, one of which is not reflected in the appellant's grid analysis.

In written rebuttal, the appellant argued two of the board of review's comparables sold less proximate to the assessment date and differ from the subject in style while one comparable has a 21% smaller dwelling size compared to the subject.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market

---

<sup>2</sup> Additional and corrected details regarding the comparables not reported by the appellant are found in the board of review's evidence.

value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains six comparable sales for the Board's consideration, as one property was common to both parties. The Board gives less weight to appellant comparable #3 and board of review comparable #1 which are less similar to the subject in dwelling size than other properties in the record. The Board gives less weight to appellant comparable #4 which, based on comments submitted by the board of review, excludes a second parcel. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparables #1 and #3 which sold in 2019, less proximate to the January 1, 2021 assessment date than other properties in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant comparables #1 and #2 along with board of review comparable #2, the common property, which sold proximate to the assessment date at issue in this appeal and are more similar to the subject in location, design, dwelling size and some other features. However, these two best comparables are slightly older in age, have smaller garage sizes and lack an inground swimming pool when compared to the subject, suggesting upward adjustments are needed to make these properties more equivalent to the subject. These comparables sold in April and December 2020 for prices of \$600,000 and \$669,900 or for \$150.04 and \$166.15 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$705,530 or \$172.04 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls above the two best comparable sales in this record. Given the subject's newer age, larger garage and inground swimming pool when compared to the two best properties in the record, a higher overall value and per square value appears to be logical. Therefore, after considering appropriate adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the subject's assessment is justified and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

November 21, 2023



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

William Conroy III, by attorney:  
Jessica Hill-Magiera  
Attorney at Law  
790 Harvest Drive  
Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Kane County Board of Review  
Kane County Government Center  
719 Batavia Ave., Bldg. C, 3rd Fl.  
Geneva, IL 60134