

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Marjorie Czop DOCKET NO.: 21-05149.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 02-35-202-007

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Marjorie Czop, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$9,612 **IMPR.:** \$73,446 **TOTAL:** \$83,058

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a part 1-story and a part 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,264 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1972 and has an effective age of 1978. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a 440 square foot garage. The property has a 10,790 square foot site and is located in Lindenhurst, Lake Villa Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on six comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are reported to be 1-story dwellings of wood frame exterior construction ranging in size from

¹ The Board finds the best description of the subject property was found in the subject's property record card submitted by the board of review which included a schematic diagram with dimensions and area calculations.

2,025 to 2,522 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1964 to 1973. Comparable #3 has an effective year built of 1979. Each comparable is reported to have a basement, central air conditioning, and a garage ranging in size from 420 to 988 square feet of building area. Five comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$56,490 to \$82,216 or from \$27.90 to \$35.39 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$83,058. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$73,446 or \$32.44 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. Comparables #4 and #5 are the same as appellant's comparables #3 and #5, respectively. The comparables are described as part 1-story and part 2-story dwellings of wood siding or brick exterior construction ranging in size from 1,828 to 2,323 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1969 to 1973 with comparables #1 and #3 having effective ages of 1995 and 1979, respectively. The comparables have basements, two with finished area. Each comparable has a central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 988 square feet of building area. Four comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$63,828 to \$82,216 or from \$32.60 to \$38.13 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments, for the assessment year in question, of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration, two of which are common to both parties. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparable #4 and #6 as well as board of review comparables #1, #2 and #3 which are less similar to the subject in dwelling size. In addition, board of review comparables #2 and #3 each have finished basement area which is not a feature of the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to the parties' remaining comparables which includes the two common comparables. These four comparables are similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, and features. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$67,286 to \$82,216 or from \$30.45 to \$35.39 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$73,446 or \$32.44 per square foot of living area falls within

the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

January 16, 2024
1111216
Chale of the December Ten Asset December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Marjorie Czop, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 2 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085