



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Thomas Hull
DOCKET NO.: 21-05078.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-28-204-010

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Thomas Hull, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Lake Forest; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$55,086
IMPR.: \$88,344
TOTAL: \$143,430

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 2,062 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling was constructed in 1952. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 260 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 11,172 square foot site and is located in Highland, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

¹ Additional details regarding the subject not reported by the appellant and/or provided by the board of review have been confirmed and/or drawn from the subject's property record card presented by the board of review. Of note, the property record card indicates the land assessment is based upon the "front foot" method, although neither party provided the front foot measurements of the subject and/or any of the comparable parcels in the grid analyses. Thus, the Board will analyze this appeal as the parties have utilizing the square foot unit of measure.

The appellant contends assessment inequity regarding the land assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on 12 equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located within .40 of a mile from the subject. The improved parcels range in size from 10,677 to 11,700 square feet of land area and have land assessments ranging from \$47,082 to \$99,491 or from \$4.34 to \$5.03 per square foot of land area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's land assessment to \$54,524 or \$4.88 per square foot of land area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$143,430. The subject property has a land assessment of \$55,086 or \$4.93 per square foot of land area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables that are located within .36 of a mile from the subject property and four of the comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The board of review's comparables #2 and #4 are the same properties as the appellant's comparables #12 and #9, respectively. The improved parcels range in size from 10,150 to 11,630 square feet of land area and have land assessments ranging from \$50,736 to \$56,198 or from \$4.83 to \$5.04 per square foot of land area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's land assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's land assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of 15 land equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparable #11 which appears to be an outlier due to its considerably lower land assessment of \$47,082 or \$4.34 per square foot of land area, when compared to the other comparables in the record. The Board has also given reduced weight to board of review comparable #3 due to its different assessment neighborhood code when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables, which includes the two common comparables. These comparables have lots that range in size from 10,500 to 11,700 square feet of land area and have land assessments ranging from \$50,779 to \$57,398 or from \$4.73 to \$5.04 per square foot of land area. The subject's land assessment of \$55,086 or \$4.93 per square foot of land area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's land was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's land assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

October 17, 2023



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Thomas Hull, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
13975 W. Polo Trail Drive
#201
Lake Forest, IL 60045

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085