

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Liang Xu

DOCKET NO.: 21-04963.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-09-107-016

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Liang Xu, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Lake Forest, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$37,736 **IMPR.:** \$148,277 **TOTAL:** \$186,013

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction containing 2,662 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1992. Features of the home include a basement partially finished with a recreation room, central air conditioning, one fireplace and an attached garage with 441 square feet of building area. The property has a 14,564 square foot site located in Vernon Hills, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales improved with two-story dwellings of frame construction containing either 2,740 or 3,044 square feet of living area. The homes were constructed in either 1990 or 1991. Each comparable has a basement with one having finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and an attached garage with either 441 or 690 square feet of building area. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 14,281 to 19,089 square

feet of land area and are located from .12 to .31 miles from the subject property. The sales occurred from April 2020 to June 2021 for prices ranging from \$455,000 to \$575,000 or from \$149.97 to \$209.85 per square foot of living area, land included. The appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$176,562.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$186,013. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$559,438 or \$210.16 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2021 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.25% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales with comparable #2 being the same property as appellant's comparable #3. The comparable sales are improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,362 to 2,740 square feet of living area. The homes were constructed from 1989 to 1998. Each comparable has a basement with three having finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and an attached garage ranging in size from 440 to 690 square feet of building area. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 8,280 to 18,670 square feet of land area and are located from .12 to .40 miles from the subject property. The sales occurred from May 2020 to December 2021 for prices ranging from \$510,000 to \$585,000 or from \$205.99 to \$218.37 per square foot of living area, land included.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains seven sales submitted by the parties to support their respective positions with one comparable being common to the parties. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparable #4 due to differences from the subject dwelling in size. The remaining comparables are similar to the subject property in location, age, size and most features with the exception that the common comparable has an unfinished basement. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$545,000 to \$585,000 or from \$198.91 to \$218.37 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$559,438 or \$210.16 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence the Board finds the assessment of the subject property as established by the board of review is correct and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a R	Assort Staffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 21, 2023	
	Middle 14	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Liang Xu, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 13975 W. Polo Trail Drive #201 Lake Forest, IL 60045

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085