

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Amit Dror

DOCKET NO.: 21-04755.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-29-312-030

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Amit Dror, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *no change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$27,886 **IMPR.:** \$109,239 **TOTAL:** \$137,125

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame construction with 2,339 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1972 but has a 1978 effective age. Features of the home include a crawl-space foundation, central air conditioning, a fireplace, an attached 456 square foot garage and a shed.<sup>1</sup> The property has a 9,200 square foot site and is located in Buffalo Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the subject's improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable properties that are located within .26 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of frame construction ranging in size from 2,374 to 2,404 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 1972 but have from 1975 to 1981

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The board of review reports the subject has a shed.

effective ages. The comparables have central air conditioning, and a 252 or a 455 square foot garage. Two comparables each have a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$100,518 to \$104,486 or from \$41.81 to \$44.01 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$137,125. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$109,239 or \$46.70 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable properties that are located within .27 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of frame construction ranging in size from 1,644 to 2,090 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 1972 or 1973, with one home built in 1972 having a 1974 effective age. The comparables have central air conditioning, and a 455 or a 525 square foot garage. One comparable has a fireplace, one comparable has a hot tub and two comparables each have a shed. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$76,915 to \$99,866 or from \$46.79 to \$50.77 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's comparables #2, #3 and #4, due to their smaller dwelling sizes when compared to the subject. The Board finds the parties' remaining comparables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject. However, each of the appellant's comparables lack a shed and one has a considerably smaller garage when compared to the subject. Nevertheless, the best comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$99,866 to \$104,486 or from \$41.81 to \$47.78 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$109,239 or \$46.70 per square foot of living area falls slightly above the range established by the best comparables in the record on a total improvement assessment basis but falls within the range on a per square foot basis. However, after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's slightly higher total improvement assessment is justified. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement is inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a R	Aster Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 20, 2024
	111.1016
	Mano

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

#### PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Amit Dror, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 3 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

## **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085