

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Alok Gupta
DOCKET NO .:	21-04670.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	11-32-104-072

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Alok Gupta, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Hawthorn Woods; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$52,262
IMPR.:	\$145,309
TOTAL:	\$197,571

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,669 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1998. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, 3.5 bathrooms, a fireplace and an attached 649 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 12,520 square foot site and is located in Vernon Hills, Libertyville Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the subject's improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on 12 comparable properties that are located within .28 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 3,560 to 3,715 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1997 to 1999. The comparables have unfinished basements, from 2.5 to 3.5 bathrooms, one or two fireplaces, and a

630 or a 649 square foot garage. Eleven comparables have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$127,387 to \$144,798 or from \$35.47 to \$39.12 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$197,571. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$145,309 or \$39.60 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five comparable properties that are located within .25 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 3,333 to 3,577 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 1998 or 1999. The comparables have unfinished basements, from 2.5 to 3.5 bathrooms, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and an attached 649 square foot garage. One comparable has a swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$133,770 to \$144,451 or from \$39.91 to \$40.72 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of 17 comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #7, due to its lack of central air conditioning when compared to the subject. The Board also gives less weight to the board of review's comparables #1, #2 and #4, due to their swimming pool feature and/or their smaller dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board finds the parties' remaining comparables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject. However, ten of the parties' best comparables have a lower bathroom count when compared to the subject. Nevertheless, the best comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$127,387 to \$144,798 or from \$35.47 to \$40.46 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$145,309 or \$39.60 per square foot of living area falls slightly above the range established by the best comparables in the record on a total improvement assessment basis but within the range on a per square foot basis. However, after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, such as their lower bathroom count, the Board finds the subject's slightly higher total improvement assessment is justified. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement is inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:** 

# <u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

January 16, 2024

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### APPELLANT

Alok Gupta, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 2 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

#### COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085