

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Robt Weinberg
DOCKET NO.: 21-04462.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-14-202-016

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Robt Weinberg, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Lake Forest; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$66,327 **IMPR.:** \$0 **TOTAL:** \$66,327

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of vacant residential lot that contains approximately 42,732 square feet of land area.¹ The property is located in unincorporated Long Meadow subdivision, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three comparable vacant land sales that are located from 3.22 to 4.77 miles from the subject. The vacant residential parcels range in size from 20,643 to 49,615 square feet of land area. Comparables #1 and #3 sold in January and October 2020 for prices of \$19,000 and \$180,000 or for \$0.38 and \$5.99 per square foot of land area, respectively. The appellant's grid analysis indicated comparable #2 was a multi-parcel sale for a price of

¹ The Board finds the best description of the subject's site size and location is found in the subject's property record card provided by the board of review.

\$580,000. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$66,327. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$199,480 or \$4.67 per square foot of land area, when using the 2021 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.25% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three comparable vacant land sales that are located from .09 of a mile to 4.78 miles from the subject property. The board of review's comparable #3 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #3. The vacant residential parcels range in size from 30,060 to 51,500 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from April 2019 to November 2021 for prices ranging from \$180,000 to \$245,000 or from \$4.47 to \$5.99 per square foot of land area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted five suggested comparable vacant land sales for the Board's consideration, as one sale was common to the parties. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables, which includes the common comparable, due to their distant locations from the subject being more than 3 miles away and/or their smaller land sizes, when compared to the subject. Moreover, the appellant's comparable #1 appears to be an outlier due to its considerably lower sale price, when compared to the other sales in the record and the appellant's comparable #2 was identified as a multi-parcel sale.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be board of review comparables #1 and #2, which are similar to the subject in land size, despite that board of review comparable #1 is located more than 2 miles away from the subject and board of review #2 is a somewhat dated sale. These two comparables sold in April 2019 and November 2021 for prices of \$230,000 and \$245,000 or for \$4.47 and \$5.23 per square foot of land area. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$199,450 or \$4.67 per square foot of land area, which is less than the two best comparable sales in this record on overall market value but bracketed by these comparables on a price per square foot basis. Based on this evidence, the Board finds no reduction in the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 21, 2023
	1111216
	Man Co
	Claula af the Duamenta Tana Amazal Danul

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Robt Weinberg, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 13975 W. Polo Trail Drive #201 Lake Forest, IL 60045

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085