



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Jim Bolker
DOCKET NO.: 21-04441.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-01-301-018

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jim Bolker, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Lake Forest; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$60,919
IMPR.: \$102,044
TOTAL: \$162,963

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 3,391 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling was constructed in 1983 and has a reported effective age of 1984. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and an attached garage containing 747 square feet of building area. The property has an approximately 86,543 square foot site and is located in Long Grove, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales, where comparable #2 is the same

¹ The parties differ as to the size of the subject dwelling. The Board finds the best evidence of size is found in the subject's property record card provided by the board of review, which contains a schematic diagram and dimensions of the subject dwelling.

property as comparable #3 and where the appellant indicated that this property reportedly sold twice on the same day for two different sale prices. The three comparable properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located within .42 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 88,629 to 139,444 square feet of land area and are improved with two-story dwellings of frame or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 3,172 to 3,604 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in either 1980 or 1985. The comparables each have a basement, one of which is a walk-out. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or three fireplaces and an attached garage ranging in size from 759 to 2,126 square feet of building area. Comparable #4 has an inground swimming pool. The appellant also submitted the listing sheet associated with the sale of comparable #4 disclosing the dwelling was rehabbed in 2008 and reportedly had multiple upgrades from 2016 to 2020. The comparables sold from August 2019 to April 2020 for prices ranging from \$300,000 to \$442,500 or from \$99.37 to \$139.50 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$162,963. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$490,114 or \$144.53 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2021 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.25% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located within .41 of a mile from the subject property. The board of review's comparable #3 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #4. The comparables have sites that range in size from 88,300 to 109,320 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick, wood siding or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,172 to 4,431 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1979 to 1987. Each comparable has a basement, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and an attached garage ranging in size from 759 to 875 square feet of building area. Three comparables each have an inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from April 2020 to October 2021 for prices ranging from \$442,500 to \$700,000 or from \$139.50 to \$202.78 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable sales for the Board's consideration, as one sale was common to the parties and one of the appellant's comparables sold twice. The Board has

given less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 due to its sale date occurring less proximate in time to the lien date at issue than the remaining sales in the record. The Board has also given less weight to the appellant's comparable sales #2 and #3, due to the fact that this property reportedly sold twice on the same day for two different sale prices of \$300,000 and \$402,500, which calls into question the arms-length nature of both transactions. The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparables #1 and #2 due to their considerably larger site sizes and/or dwelling size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the parties' common comparable, along with board of review comparable #5, which sold more proximate in time to the assessment date at issue and are similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and some features. However, the Board finds these two comparables each have an inground swimming pool, not a feature of the subject, suggesting downward adjustments would be required to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, these most similar comparables sold in April 2020 and October 2021 for prices of \$442,500 and \$550,000 or for \$139.50 and \$163.89 per square foot of living area, including land, respectively. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$490,114 or \$144.53 per square foot of living area, including land, which is bracketed by the two best comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the subject's assessment is supported. Therefore, based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

November 21, 2023



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jim Bolker, by attorney:
Ronald Kingsley
Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC
13975 W. Polo Trail Drive
#201
Lake Forest, IL 60045

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085