

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Migdalia Perez
DOCKET NO.:	21-04110.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	06-16-325-002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Migdalia Perez, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley, of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Lake Forest; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$7,200
IMPR.:	\$41,402
TOTAL:	\$48,602

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 975 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1972 and has an effective age of 1986.¹ Features of the home include a basement with finished area and central air conditioning. The property has a 5,000 square foot site and is located in Round Lake Beach, Avon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located within 0.5 of a mile from the subject. The comparables have 5,001 square foot sites that are improved with 1-story homes ranging in size from 950 to 1,116 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1973

¹ Additional details regarding the subject not reported by the appellant are found in the subject's property record card and were not refuted by the appellant in written rebuttal.

to 1977, with comparable #4 having an effective age of 1989 and comparables #2 and #5 reported to have recently been rehabbed. Each home has a basement, three of which have finished area.² Four homes each have central air conditioning, one home has a fireplace, and three homes each have a garage ranging in size from 325 to 480 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from January 2020 to March 2021 for prices ranging from \$117,000 to \$184,000 or from \$110.80 to \$191.67 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$48,602. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$146,171 or \$149.92 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2021 three year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.25% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales located within 0.91 of a mile from the subject. Comparable #3 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #4. The parcels range in size from 5,000 to 5,980 square feet of land area and are improved with 1-story homes of vinyl or wood siding exterior construction with 975 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1970 to 1974 with comparables #3 and #4 each having an effective age of 1989. Each home has a basement with finished area. Four homes each have central air conditioning and four homes each have a garage ranging in size from 360 to 528 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from November 2020 to November 2021 for prices ranging from \$175,000 to \$216,000 or from \$179.49 to \$221.54 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of nine comparable sales, with one common sale, for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #1, which sold for considerably less than the other comparables in this record and appears to be an outlier. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #2, which is a substantially larger home than the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparables #3 and #5, the appellant's comparable #4/board of review's comparable #3, and the board of review's

 $^{^{2}}$ The appellant presented listing sheets for comparables #2 and #5 describing finished basement area and the board of review reported comparable #4, which is common to both parties, has finished basement area, which was not refuted by the appellant in written rebuttal.

comparables #1, #2, #4, and #5, which are similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, site size, and some features, although six comparables each have a garage unlike the subject, one comparable lacks finished basement area that is a feature of the subject, two comparables lack central air conditioning that is a feature of the subject, and four comparables have older effective ages than the subject, suggesting adjustments to these comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$141,000 to \$216,000 or from \$148.42 to \$221.54 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$146,171 or \$149.92 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

January 16, 2024

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Migdalia Perez, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 2 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085