

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Gwen Pinzon
DOCKET NO.: 21-04055.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 02-15-204-029

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Gwen Pinzon, the appellant, by attorney Ronald Kingsley of Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC in Lake Forest; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *no change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$16,408 **IMPR.:** \$75,235 **TOTAL:** \$91,643

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,272 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2006. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 660 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 9,034 square foot site and is located in Antioch, Antioch Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located within approximately .27 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 9,043 to 11,330 square feet of land area and are improved with two-story dwellings of wood frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,371 to 2,714 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 2005

or 2006. Each comparable has a basement, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 682 square feet of building area. Three comparables each have a fireplace. The comparables sold from March to May 2020 for prices ranging from \$250,000 to \$314,054 or from \$92.12 to \$123.35 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$84,056, which would reflect a market value of \$252,193 or \$111.00 per square foot of living area, including land, when using the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$91,643. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$275,618 or \$121.31 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2021 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.25% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In response to the appeal, the board of review submitted a document identified as "Assessors Summary – 02-15-204-029," but does not specify who prepared the document. According to the document the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 were "As-Is" sales and the appellant's comparables #3 and #4 are used in the assessor's sales grid. The assessor provided five comparable sales, with most weight given to assessor comparable #3 as it is most similar to the subject in size with the only significant difference being it does not have a three- car garage, like the subject.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review, through the township assessor submitted information on five comparable sales that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located within approximately .43 of a mile from the subject property. The board of review's comparables #1 and #4 are the same properties as the appellant's comparables #4 and #3, respectively. The comparables have sites that range in size from 9,040 to 10,900 square feet of land area and are improved with two-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,355 to 2,626 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 2005 or 2006. The comparables each have a basement, three of which have finished area and one of which is a walk-out. Each comparable has a central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 734 square feet of building area. Four comparables each have a fireplace. The comparables sold from March 2020 to April 2021 for prices ranging from \$265,000 to \$340,000 or from \$109.47 to \$124.98 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of seven comparable sales for the Board's consideration, as two sales were common to the parties. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #2, as well as board of review comparable #2 due to their larger dwelling sizes, when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the parties' remaining comparables, which includes the two common comparables. The Board finds these four comparables are similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and some features. However, three of the four comparables have finished basement area, not a feature of the subject, suggesting downward adjustments would be required to make the comparables more equivalent to the subject. Nevertheless, the comparables sold from March to November 2020 for prices ranging from \$265,000 to \$314,054 or from \$109.47 to \$123.35 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$275,618 or \$121.31 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds no reduction in the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

January 16, 2024
1111216
Chale of the December Ten Asset December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Gwen Pinzon, by attorney: Ronald Kingsley Lake County Real Estate Tax Appeal, LLC 40 Landover Parkway Suite 2 Hawthorn Woods, IL 60047

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085