



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Gloria and Daniel Reisner  
DOCKET NO.: 21-03406.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 16-26-414-002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Gloria and Daniel Reisner, the appellants, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$81,932  
**IMPR.:** \$137,390  
**TOTAL:** \$219,322

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick and wood siding exterior construction with 3,516 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1970 and is 51 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and an attached 489 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 11,920 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellants contend assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellants submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and within 0.29 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 3,555 to 3,908 square feet of living area. The

comparables range in age from 44 to 66 years old. The comparables each have a basement with three having finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and an attached garage that ranges in size from 462 to 651 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$132,306 to \$136,748 or from \$34.99 to \$37.22 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$127,191 or \$36.17 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$219,322. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$137,390 or \$39.08 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and within 0.72 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,469 to 3,958 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1969 to 1980 with comparables #1 and #4 having effective ages of 1973 and 1991, respectively. Each comparable has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and an attached garage that ranges in size from 484 to 624 square feet of building area. Comparables #1 and #2 each have an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$146,464 to \$165,749 or from \$40.95 to \$44.02 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellants' comparable #1 as well as board of review comparables #1, #2, and #4 due to differences in age, effective age, dwelling size, and/or inground pool amenity when compared to the subject. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables which are more similar to the subject in location, design, age/effective age, dwelling size, and features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$132,306 to \$147,106 or from \$36.20 to \$41.00 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$137,390 or \$39.08 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellants did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: February 20, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Gloria and Daniel Reisner, by attorney:  
Robert Rosenfeld  
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC  
40 Skokie Blvd  
Suite 150  
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review  
Lake County Courthouse  
18 North County Street, 7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085