

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Tony Wang

DOCKET NO.: 21-03123.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-22-107-014

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tony Wang, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$39,506 **IMPR.:** \$211,511 **TOTAL:** \$251,017

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 4,618 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2007. Features of the home include an unfinished walkout basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 662 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 13,003 square foot site and is located in Lake Zurich, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables¹ that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located

¹ The appellant provided two grid analyses with the same four comparables #1 through #4, where the second grid contains an additional comparable #5 that was marked out by the appellant and thus will not be considered in this appeal by the Board.

within .19 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 4,163 to 5,006 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in either 2005 or 2006. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 660 to 682 square feet of building area. Comparable #4 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$175,981 to \$216,194 or from \$42.06 to \$44.53 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$198,631 or \$43.01 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$251,017. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$211,511 or \$45.80 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located within .18 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 4,462 to 4,880 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 2005 or 2008. Each comparable has an unfinished basement with two having walkout style, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 640 to 852 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$202,519 to \$220,277 or from \$44.35 to \$46.35 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #4 that has an inground swimming pool, which is not a feature of the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1 through #3 and the board of review comparables which are all relatively similar to the subject in location, design, dwelling size, age, foundation and most features. These eight comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$175,981 to \$220,277 or from \$42.06 to \$46.35 per square foot of living area. Excluding appellant's comparable #2 and board of review comparable #3 which are at the low end and high end of the range of values yields a tighter range from \$187,686 to \$216,442 or from \$42.06 to \$45.88 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$211,511 or \$45.80 per square foot of living area falls within the

range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a R	Assort Staffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	January 16, 2024
	111:10 16
	Man O
-	

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Tony Wang, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085