

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Rajiv Haravu DOCKET NO.: 21-02907.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-15-115-049

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Rajiv Haravu, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$29,761 **IMPR.:** \$152,725 **TOTAL:** \$182,486

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a 2-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 3,744 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1998 and is approximately 23 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, and a garage with 681 square feet of building area. The property has an approximately 11,060 square foot site and is located in Hawthorn Woods, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property and within 0.99

¹ The appellant provided two grid analyses with the same four comparables #1 through #4, but the second grid analysis contains an additional comparable #5 that was marked out by the appellant and thus will not be considered in this appeal by the Board.

of a mile from the subject. The properties are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick or frame exterior construction ranging in size from 3,800 to 4,223 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1996 to 1998. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a garage that ranges in size from 641 to 874 square feet of building area. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$127,312 to \$162,176 or from \$33.50 to \$38.40 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$136,384 or \$36.43 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$182,486. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$152,725 or \$40.79 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on fifteen equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and within 1.0 mile from the subject. The properties are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick, frame, or brick and frame siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,378 to 4,046 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1995 to 1998. The comparables each have an unfinished basement with one being a walkout style. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one to three fireplaces, and a garage that ranges in size from 641 to 1,116 square feet of building area. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$139,261 to \$172,833 or from \$41.04 to \$45.25 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains nineteen equity comparables submitted by the parties to support their respective positions. The Board finds the parties' comparables to be similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, and other features. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$127,312 to \$172,833 or from \$33.50 to \$45.25 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$152,725 or \$40.79 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

| 21. Fer | |
|--------------|----------------|
| | Chairman |
| C. R. | Robert Stoffen |
| Member | Member |
| Dan De Kinin | Swah Bolley |
| Member | Member |
| DISSENTING: | |

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

| Date: | January 16, 2024 |
|-------|----------------------|
| | 111:10)16 |
| | Man O |
| | Cl 1 Cd D + T A 1D 1 |

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Rajiv Haravu, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085