

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Chris Kulton
DOCKET NO.: 21-02859.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-29-404-022

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Chris Kulton, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$41,080 **IMPR.:** \$146,206 **TOTAL:** \$187,286

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a one-story ranch dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,331 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1978 with an effective year built of 1981. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, a 756 square foot garage and a 480 square foot inground swimming pool. The property has an approximately 40,560 square foot site and is located in Deer Park, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located within .82 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of brick or frame exterior construction that range in size from 2,807 to 2,954 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1978 to 1987. Each comparable has a basement, one of

which is a walkout style, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 529 to 950 square feet of building area. Three comparables each have one fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$109,441 to \$123,774 or from \$37.33 to \$41.90 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$133,273 or \$40.01 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$187,286. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$146,206 or \$43.89 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and are located within .29 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with one-story ranch dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction with 2,932 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 1978 or 1980. Each comparable has a basement, central air conditioning, one or three fireplaces and a garage with either 828 or 897 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$125,668 to \$139,401 or from \$42.86 to \$47.54 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments, for the assessment year in question, of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration, none of which are truly similar to the subject property due to varying differences in their smaller dwelling sizes, lack of an inground swimming pool and/or have other features when compared to the subject. Nevertheless, these comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$109,441 to \$139,401 or from \$37.33 to \$47.54 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$146,206 or \$43.89 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the comparables in the record on an overall basis and within the range on a per-square-foot. However, the Board finds the subject's higher overall improvement assessment is logical when considering the subject's larger dwelling size and inground swimming pool relative to the other comparables in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 21, 2023
	111:11216
	Myam C
	Claula a fulla a Dua u a utaa Taaa A u u a a l Daa u d

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Chris Kulton, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085