

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Theresa Kortenkamp DOCKET NO.: 21-02651.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 06-24-204-069

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Theresa Kortenkamp, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$33,880 **IMPR.:** \$116,996 **TOTAL:** \$150,876

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,944 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1989. Features of the home include a basement, that has finished area, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and an attached 568 square foot garage. The property has a 9,400 square foot site and is located in Third Lake, Avon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the subject's improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable properties that are located within .17 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of wood siding or vinyl siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,618 to 3,006 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1986 to 1993. The comparables have basements, one of which has finished area, central air conditioning,

a fireplace, and an attached garage ranging in size from 483 to 616 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$83,228 to \$103,875 or from \$31.31 to \$36.69 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$150,876. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$116,996 or \$39.74 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five comparable properties that are located within .22 of a mile from the subject. The board of review's comparables #3, #4 and #5, are the same properties as the appellant's comparables #2, #4 and #3, respectively. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of wood siding or vinyl siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,618 to 3,006 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1986 to 1994. Four comparables have basements, two of which have finished area, and one comparable has a slab foundation. The comparables central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and an attached garage ranging in size from 483 to 736 square feet of building area. One comparable also has a detached 1,560 square foot garage and an enclosed frame porch. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$92,160 to \$109,400 or from \$34.56 to \$40.22 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of six comparable properties for the Board's consideration, three of which were submitted by both parties. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's comparable #1, due to its dissimilar slab foundation and additional detached garage when compared to the subject. The Board finds the parties' remaining comparables, which includes the parties' common comparables, are similar to the subject in location, style, age and most features. However, each of the parties' best comparables has a smaller basement when compared to the subject. In addition, only two of the parties' best comparables have finished basement area like the subject, albeit each of these has a considerably smaller amount of basement finish when compared to the subject. Nevertheless, the best comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$83,228 to \$106,266 or from \$31.31 to \$38.66 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$116,996 or \$39.74 per square foot of living area falls

above the range established by the best comparables in the record. However, after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, such as their inferior basement size and basement finished area, the Board finds the subject's higher improvement assessment is justified. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement is inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2.	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikinin	Swah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 21, 2023
	Middle 14
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Theresa Kortenkamp, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085