



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Arvind Mehta
DOCKET NO.: 21-02572.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-36-201-003

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Arvind Mehta, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$58,775
IMPR.: \$282,333
TOTAL: \$341,108

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick and wood siding exterior construction with 6,317 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2002. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, an attached 1,146 square foot garage and a swimming pool. The property has an approximately 63,700 square foot site and is located in Long Grove, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the subject's improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable properties that are located within .68 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick or frame exterior construction ranging in size from 5,418 to 7,156 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1969 to 2000, with homes built in 1969 and 1987 having 1992 and 1995 effective ages, respectively. The

comparables have unfinished basements, central air conditioning, from one to three fireplaces, and an attached garage ranging in size from 821 to 1,054 square feet of building area. One comparable has finished attic area and one comparable has a swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$203,998 to \$296,960 or from \$37.54 to \$41.84 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$341,108. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$282,333 or \$44.69 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five comparable properties that are located from .35 of a mile to 1.50 miles from the subject. The board of review's comparable #1 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #1. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 4,825 to 6,813 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 2000 to 2005. The comparables have unfinished basements, central air conditioning, one, two or five fireplaces, and an attached garage ranging in size from 891 to 1,617 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$185,956 to \$315,825 or from \$37.54 to \$52.06 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable properties for the Board's consideration, one of which was submitted by both parties. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's comparables #2 and #5, due to their considerably smaller dwelling size when compared to the subject or their location over a mile from the subject. The Board finds the parties' remaining comparables, which includes the parties' common comparable, have varying degrees of similarity to the subject. However, only one of the best comparables has a swimming pool when compared to the subject. Nevertheless, the best comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$203,998 to \$296,960 or from \$37.54 to \$44.03 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$282,333 or \$44.69 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record on a total improvement assessment basis but slightly above the range on a per square foot basis. However, after

considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, such as their lack of a swimming pool, the Board finds the subject's slightly higher per square foot improvement assessment is justified. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement is inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: October 17, 2023



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Arvind Mehta, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC
33 North Dearborn Street
Suite 1850
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085