

### FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Jose Cantu Gomez
DOCKET NO.:	21-02385.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	15-18-101-029

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jose Cantu Gomez, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$44,450
IMPR.:	\$248,976
TOTAL:	\$293,426

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 5,187 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1995 and is approximately 26 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, six fireplaces, a hot tub, and a garage containing 845 square feet of building area. The property has a 32,680 square foot site and is located in Long Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with regard to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within .48 of a mile of the subject and within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of brick or brick and Dryvit exterior construction ranging in size from 4,632 to 5,146 square feet of living area. The homes are 23 to 28 years old. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, two to six fireplaces, a

basement with three having finished area, and a garage ranging in size from 854 to 918 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$200,962 to \$243,953 or from \$42.03 to \$47.41 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$227,709 or \$43.90 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$293,426. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$248,976 or \$48.00 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within .62 of a mile of the subject and within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 4,376 to 4,923 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1991 to 1996. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, two or three fireplaces, a basement with three having finished area, and a garage ranging in size from 736 to 1,209 square feet of building area. Comparable #1 has an inground swimming pool and hot tub. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$224,349 to \$250,245 or from \$50.78 to \$53.75 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparables #2 through #4, which lack finished basement area, a feature of the subject. The Board also gives reduced weight to board of review comparables #1 and #4 due to differences from the subject in basement finish or inground swimming pool feature.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparables #2 and #3, which are similar to the subject in age, location, dwelling size, and some features. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$208,490 to \$236,831 or from \$42.03 to \$53.75 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$248,976 or \$48.00 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record on a per-square-foot basis. While the improvement assessment falls above the range overall, the Board finds this logical given the subject's larger dwelling, finished basement, and hot tub amenity in relation to the best comparables. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for

differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:** 

### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

July 18, 2023

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### APPELLANT

Jose Cantu Gomez, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

### COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085