

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Rick Blasgen
DOCKET NO.: 21-02315.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-26-105-050

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Rick Blasgen, the appellant, by attorney Andrew J. Rukavina of The Tax Appeal Company in Mundelein; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$55,700 **IMPR.:** \$264,696 **TOTAL:** \$320,396

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick and wood siding exterior construction with 5,000 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2001. Features of the home include a walk-out basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 969 square foot attached garage. The property has a 43,382 square foot site and is located in Kildeer, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located within .47 of mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 36,590 to 105,364 square feet of land area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Board finds the best description of the subject is found in the property record card provided by the board of review.

The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 4,710 to 6,094 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in either 2001 or 2002. The comparables each have a basement, three of which are walkouts. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one to five fireplaces and an attached garage ranging in size from 543 to 1,127 square feet of building area. Comparable #4 has a 425 square foot detached garage. The comparables sold from September 2018 to June 2021 for prices ranging from \$725,000 to \$1,100,000 or from \$153.93 to \$184.63 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$320,396. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$963,597 or \$192.72 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2021 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.25% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales that have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located within .52 of a mile from the subject property. The board of review's comparable #3 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #3. The comparables have sites that range in size from 44,080 to 121,970 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick or wood siding and brick siding exterior construction ranging in size from 4,179 to 5,324 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 2000 to 2004. The comparables each have a basement, four of which are walk-outs. Each comparable has central air conditioning, two to four fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 779 to 1,047 square feet of building area. Comparable #5 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from August 2020 to July 2021 for prices ranging from \$867,500 to \$975,000 or from \$179.38 to \$224.93 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains nine suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration, as one sale was common to the parties. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #5, as well as board of review comparables #1 and #5 due to differences from the subject in dwelling size and/or their sale dates occurred in 2018 or 2019, less proximate in time to the assessment date at issue than the other sales in the record. Furthermore, board of review comparable #5 has an inground swimming pool, not a feature of the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the parties' remaining comparable sales, including the common sale. The Board finds these comparables sold more proximate in time to the assessment date at issue and are similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and some features. The comparables sold from October 2020 to March 2021 for prices ranging from \$810,000 to \$975,000 or from \$159.39 to \$199.92 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$963,597 or \$192.72 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
<del></del>	Chairman
a R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	October 17, 2023
	111:10 16
	Man O
	C1lf.tl Dt T A1 D1

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Rick Blasgen, by attorney: Andrew J. Rukavina The Tax Appeal Company 28643 North Sky Crest Drive Mundelein, IL 60060

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085