

### FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Christos Kandalepas
DOCKET NO.:	21-02249.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	15-18-101-010

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Christos Kandalepas, the appellant, by attorney Andrew J. Rukavina, of The Tax Appeal Company in Mundelein; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$38,602
IMPR.:	\$308,203
TOTAL:	\$346,805

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 7,516 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2007. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, four fireplaces and a 1,032 square foot garage. The property has a 33,799 square foot site and is located in Long Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located in the same neighborhood code as the subject and within 0.60 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 33,258 to 46,879 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 5,291 to 8,653 square feet of living area that were built from 1992 to 2001. Each comparable has a basement with finished area, one of walk out design, central air conditioning, three to seven fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 655 or 1,500 square feet of building area. Comparables #3 and #4

each have a hot tub. The comparables sold from June 2020 to June 2021 for prices ranging from \$622,000 to \$990,000 or from \$77.23 to \$138.99 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$346,805. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,043,023 or \$138.77 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2021 threeyear average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.25% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

The board of review submitted the Listing & Property History Report for appellant's comparables #1 and #5 stating that the properties spent a total of 609 or 446 days on the market, respectively. Board of review submitted the MLS data sheet for the appellant's comparable #2 disclosing that the property was a "foreclosure" and sold "as is" due to the presence of mold.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales located in the same neighborhood code as the subject and within 0.75 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 33,220 to 40,310 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick, brick and stone or brick and Dryvit exterior construction ranging in size from 6,416 to 7,123 square feet of living area that were built from 1995 to 2003. Each comparable has a basement, four with finished area, central air conditioning, one to five fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 924 to 1,455 square feet of building area. Comparables #1 and #4 each have a hot tub. Comparable #4 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables sold from October 2019 to April 2021 for prices ranging from \$990,000 to \$1,185,000 or from \$138.99 to \$169.55 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains ten suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparable #3 due to its dissimilar dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board has given less weight to the board of review comparables #4 and #5 for either their inground swimming pool, a feature the subject lacks and/or the remote sale date occurring in 2019, which is less proximate in time to the January 1, 2021 assessment date given other sales available in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the remaining parties' comparables. The Board finds that these comparables sold proximate in time to the assessment date at issue and are relatively similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, age and some features. The comparables sold from June 2020 to June 2021 for prices ranging from \$622,000 to \$1,185,000 or from \$77.23 to \$169.55 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,043,023 or \$138.77 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range of the best comparable sales contained in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



# <u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

June 27, 2023

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### APPELLANT

Christos Kandalepas, by attorney: Andrew J. Rukavina The Tax Appeal Company 28643 North Sky Crest Drive Mundelein, IL 60060

## COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085