

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Paul DeWoskin DOCKET NO.: 21-02140.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 17-31-301-002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Paul DeWoskin, the appellant by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.<sup>1</sup>

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$86,689 **IMPR.:** \$148,191 **TOTAL:** \$234,880

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,153 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1950 and is approximately 71 years old. The dwelling has a reported effective age of 1972.<sup>2</sup> Features of the home include a basement finished with a recreation room, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 621 square foot garage. The property has a 10,510 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties agreed to forgo the scheduled virtual hearing on this case and have the Board issue a decision based on the evidence in the record.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The subject's property record card provided by the board of review indicated the subject dwelling was remodeled in 1998 and has an effective age of 1972.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 2,993 to 3,524 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 70 to 82 years old. The comparables have basements, three are finished with a recreation room. Each comparable has one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 260 to 440 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$113,853 to \$129,294 or from \$36.85 to \$41.48 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$234,880. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$148,191 or \$47.00 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 1.5-story or 2-story dwellings of brick or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,936 to 3,236 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1937 to 1977 with reported effective ages ranging from 1964 to 1977. The board of review reported that each comparable has a basement, two of which are finished with a recreation room. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 169 to 552 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$149,570 to \$165,180 or from \$49.33 to \$52.78 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of eight suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparable #4 as well as board of review comparables #2 and #4 which have unfinished basements when compared to the subject. In addition, board of review comparable #4 is a considerably newer dwelling.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables, which are similar to the subject in location, design, and dwelling size with varying degrees of similarity to the subject in age and features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$113,853 to \$157,475 or from \$36.85 to \$50.94 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$148,191 or \$47.00 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record both in terms of overall

improvement assessment and on a square foot basis. Therefore, based on this record and after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
<del></del>	Chairman
a R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 20, 2022
	Middle 215

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Paul DeWoskin, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085