



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Michael Baim  
DOCKET NO.: 21-02105.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 17-31-302-124

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Michael Baim, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$107,305  
**IMPR.:** \$335,232  
**TOTAL:** \$442,537

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 4,656 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2004 and is approximately 17 years old. Features of the home include a basement that is finished with a recreation room, central air conditioning, four fireplaces and a 692 square foot garage. The property has a 15,690 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located over 1 mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of stucco, brick, or Dryvit exterior construction ranging in size from 4,572 to 4,742 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 13 to 24 years old. Each comparable has a basement with finished area, two of

which are walkout design, central air conditioning, two or three fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 546 to 836 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$248,804 to \$315,858 or from \$52.47 to \$69.09 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$442,537. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$335,232 or \$72.00 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five comparables with the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located from .26 to 1.44 miles from the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of brick, stone, or stone and stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 4,160 to 5,146 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 2001 to 2008. The comparables each have a basement that is finished with a recreation room, central air conditioning, two to four fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 736 to 805 square feet of building area. Comparable #4 has an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$290,790 to \$394,572 or from \$62.29 to \$80.63 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of nine suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration, eight of which are located over 1 mile from the subject. Nevertheless, the Board gives less weight to board of review comparables #2, #3 and #4 which are less similar in dwelling size or have an inground swimming pool when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables which are more similar to the subject in dwelling size, design, age and some features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$248,804 to \$350,610 or from \$52.47 to \$80.63 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$335,232 or \$72.00 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record and well supported by the comparable located most proximate to the subject. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

November 22, 2022



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Michael Baim, by attorney:  
Robert Rosenfeld  
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC  
33 North Dearborn Street  
Suite 1850  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review  
Lake County Courthouse  
18 North County Street, 7th Floor  
Waukegan, IL 60085