

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Scott Silverman DOCKET NO.: 21-02028.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-28-220-016

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Scott Silverman, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$38,802 **IMPR.:** \$198,702 **TOTAL:** \$237,504

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,620 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2007 and is approximately 14 years old. Features of the home include a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 432 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 8,500 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located from 0.10 to 0.33 of a mile from the subject and within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The parcels range in size from 7,300 to 11,377 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story homes of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,687 to 3,280 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 6 to 20 years old. Each home has an unfinished

basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a garage ranging in size from 420 to 552 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from February to July 2020 for prices ranging from \$610,000 to \$772,500 or from \$205.03 to \$243.15 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to \$199,469 which would reflect a market value of \$598,467 or \$228.42 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$237,504. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$712,583 or \$271.99 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales located from 0.12 to 0.93 of a mile from the subject and one comparable is located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The parcels range in size from 7,500 to 10,950 square feet of land area and are improved with 1.5-story or 2-story homes of brick, wood siding, and brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,380 to 2,890 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1955 to 2006. Four homes each have a full basement, three of which have finished area, and one home has a lower level with finished area. Each home has central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 400 to 552 square feet of building area. Four homes each have one or two fireplaces. The comparables sold from April 2020 to September 2021 for prices ranging from \$730,000 to \$895,000 or from \$276.55 to \$325.04 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of nine comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables due to substantial differences from the subject in dwelling size and/or basement finish. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's comparables #1, #4, and #5, due to significant differences from the subject in age and/or design.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the board of review's comparables #2 and #3, which are similar to the subject in dwelling size, age, location, and most features. These most similar comparables sold in December 2020 and April 2021 for prices of \$730,000 and \$750,000 or \$306.72 and \$276.55 per square foot of living area, including land, respectively. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$712,583 or \$271.99 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2.	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikinin	Swah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	September 20, 2022
	Middle 14
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Scott Silverman, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085