

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Warren Schoen
DOCKET NO.: 21-01986.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-08-302-003

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Warren Schoen, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.¹

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$174,803 **IMPR.:** \$190,500 **TOTAL:** \$365,303

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1.75-story dwelling of brick and wood siding exterior construction with 5,953 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1989 and is approximately 32 years old. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, a 1,092 square foot garage and a 741 square foot inground swimming pool. The property has an approximately 60,110 square foot site and is located in Lake Forest, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity, with respect to the improvement assessment, as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The

¹ The parties agreed to forego the scheduled virtual hearing on this case and have the Board issue a decision based on the evidence in the record.

comparables are improved with a 1.8-story or a 2-story dwelling of brick exterior construction that range in size from 5,604 to 6,248 square feet of living area. The homes are either 32 or 33 years old. Each comparable has a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning, one to three fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 990 to 1,300 square feet of building area. Comparables #1 and #4 have inground swimming pools. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$168,190 to \$187,812 or from \$27.99 to \$30.42 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$175,762 or \$29.52 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$393,029. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$218,226 or \$36.66 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick or brick and wood siding exterior construction that range in size from 5,492 to 5,846 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1987 to 1993. Each comparable has a basement, four with finished area, central air conditioning, two or three fireplaces, a garage ranging in size from 832 to 1,008 square feet of building area and an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$209,942 to \$262,560 or from \$38.23 to \$44.91 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments, for the assessment year in question, of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the board of review comparables which have basements or finished basements in contrast to the subject's slab foundation.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables which are more similar to the subject in location, age, design, dwelling size and other features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$168,190 to \$187,812 or from \$27.99 to \$30.42 per square foot of living area. Appellant comparables #1 and #4 both have an inground swimming pool, like the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments of \$174,912 and \$187,812 or \$27.99 and \$30.42 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$218,226 or \$36.66 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering appropriate

adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a R	Sobot Steffor
Member	Member
	Swah Bolder
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	January 17, 2023	
	Michl 215	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Warren Schoen, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085