

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: James Butz

DOCKET NO.: 21-01714.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-27-403-003

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are James Butz, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$36,974 **IMPR.:** \$92,764 **TOTAL:** \$129,738

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick and wood siding exterior construction with 2,273 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1972 and is approximately 49 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage containing 575 square feet of building area. The property has a 39,355 square foot site and is located in Kildeer, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four suggested equity comparables that are in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within .47 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,236 to 2,544 square feet of living area that range in age from 45 to 53 years old. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, either one or two fireplaces and an attached garage ranging in size from 400 to 690 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$84,299

to \$94,220 or from \$36.18 to \$37.92 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$129,738. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$92,764 or \$40.81 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparables that are in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within .70 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with either 1.5-story or 2-story dwellings of brick, wood siding or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,074 to 2,436 square feet of living area that were built from 1975 to 1978, with comparable #3 having an effective age of 1977. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, either one or two fireplaces and an attached garage ranging from 598 to 864 square feet of building area. Comparable #1 has an additional detached garage with 864 square feet of building area. Comparables #1 and #4 have an inground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$91,862 to \$106,993 or from \$43.39 to \$44.75 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to the board of review comparables #1 and #4 due to their inground swimming pools, an amenity the subject lacks.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties remaining comparables which are most similar to the subject in location, design, dwelling size, age and some features. These most similar comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$84,299 to \$106,993 or from \$36.18 to \$44.75 per square foot of living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$92,764 or \$40.81 per square foot of living area, which falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, and after considering differences between the best equity comparables and the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement assessment was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a Residence of the second seco	Solet Steffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	October 17, 2023
	111:10 16
	Man O
-	C11

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

James Butz, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085