

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Venkateswarlu Yagnamurthy

DOCKET NO.: 21-00764.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-21-219-105

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Venkateswarlu Yagnamurthy, the appellant, by attorney Eric Feldman, of Eric Feldman & Assoc. P.C. in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$26,456 **IMPR.:** \$113,577 **TOTAL:** \$140,033

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 1,983 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2011. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning, and a 420 square foot garage. The property is located in Buffalo Grove, Vernon Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales located within 0.24 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story homes of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 1,874 to 2,458 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 2006 to 2011. Four homes each have a basement and one home has a concrete slab foundation. Each home has central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 420 to 460 square feet of building area. Two homes each have a fireplace. The comparables sold from April 2020 to

September 2021 for prices ranging from \$319,900 to \$480,000 or from \$170.70 to \$214.32 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$140,033. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$421,152 or \$212.38 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2021 three year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.25% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within 0.24 of a mile from the subject. Comparables #2, #3, and #4 are the same properties as the appellant's comparables #4, #3, and #5, respectively. Comparable #1 is improved with a 2-story home of brick exterior construction with 1,983 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 2013 and features a basement, central air conditioning, and a 420 square foot garage. This comparable sold in July 2020 for a price of \$421,000 or \$212.30 per square foot of living area, including land.

The board of review submitted a brief contending that the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 differ from the subject in foundation type or dwelling size. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of six comparable sales, with three common sales, for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #2, due to substantial differences from the subject in dwelling size or foundation type.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparables #3, #4, and #5 and the board of review's comparables, which includes the three common sales. These comparables are identical to the subject in dwelling size and similar in age, location, and features. These comparables sold for prices ranging from \$404,000 to \$425,000 or from \$203.73 to \$214.32 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$421,152 or \$212.38 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a R	assert Staffer
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	August 22, 2023
	1111216
	Man Ca
	Claula a f. 41a a Dura un auta a Trans. A unu a a 1 D a a un 1

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Venkateswarlu Yagnamurthy, by attorney: Eric Feldman Eric Feldman & Assoc. P.C. 53 W. Jackson Blvd. Suite 1622 Chicago, Il 60604

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085