

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Rita Brannam
DOCKET NO.: 21-00499.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-04-201-008

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Rita Brannam, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$55,629 **IMPR.:** \$129,746 **TOTAL:** \$185,375

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 3,472 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1989. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, a garage with 736 square feet of building area and an inground swimming pool. The property has an approximately 84,740 square foot site and is located in Barrington, Cuba Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four suggested equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and from .05 of a mile to 3.92 miles from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,988 to 3,679 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1981 to 1988. Each comparable is reported to have an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, either one or two fireplaces and an attached garage ranging in size from 600 to 873 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$107,439 to \$125,988 or from \$29.45 to \$35.96 per square foot of living area. Based on

this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$118,134 or \$34.02 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$185,375. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$129,746 or \$37.37 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparables that are in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located from 2.34 to 4.67 miles from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of either wood siding or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 3,045 to 3,689 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1988 to 1991. Each comparable has a basement, two with finished area, central air conditioning, either one or three fireplaces and an attached garage ranging in size from 693 to 1,077 square feet of building area. Comparable #1 has an inground swimming pool and comparable #3 has an additional detached garage with 324 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$118,017 to \$140,150 or from \$37.99 to \$39.42 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board has given less weight to appellant's comparable #1 as well as the board of review comparables due to their differences in location, dissimilar dwelling size and/or basement finish when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #2, #3 and #4 which are relatively similar to the subject in design, dwelling size, age and some features. These three comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$108,334 to \$125,988 or from \$29.45 to \$35.74 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$129,746 or \$37.37 per square foot of living area, which falls above the range established by the best comparables contained in the record, which is logical given the subject has an inground swimming pool, unlike the three best comparables. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	January 16, 2024
	1111216
	Man Ca
	Claula a Cala a Dua usanta Tasa Augus a 1 Dagus 1

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Rita Brannam, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085