

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Deborah Fields DOCKET NO.: 21-00489.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 13-27-401-021

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Deborah Fields, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$93,208 **IMPR.:** \$127,758 **TOTAL:** \$220,966

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.<sup>1</sup>

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a part 1-story and part 2-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 2,507 square feet of living area.<sup>2</sup> The dwelling was constructed in 2003 and is approximately 18 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, a fully finished attic, central air conditioning, one fireplace, a 741 square foot garage, a 1,152 square foot flat barn and a 3,096 square foot metal pole building. The property has an approximately 217,800 square foot site and is located in Barrington, Cuba Township, Lake County.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties agreed to forego the scheduled virtual hearing on this case and have the Board issue a decision based on the evidence in the record.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The parties differ as to the subject's dwelling size and presence of outbuildings. The Board finds the best description of the subject property was reported in the subject' property record card, submitted by the board of review, which was not refuted by the appellant.

The appellant contends assessment inequity, with respect to the improvement assessment, as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and from 0.90 of a mile to 1.30 miles from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 1-story dwellings of brick or frame exterior construction that range in size from 2,243 to 2,966 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 8 to 36 years old. Each comparable has a basement, two with finished area, central air conditioning, one to three fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 440 to 1,531 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$94,423 to \$168,721 or from \$42.10 to \$58.77 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$106,966 or \$42.67 per square foot of living area, when using the subject's dwelling size of 2,507 square feet of living area

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$220,966. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$127,758 or \$50.96 per square foot of living area, when using the subject's dwelling size of 2,507 square feet of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables two of which are located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property and from 0.73 of a mile to 2.26 miles from the subject property. Board of review comparable #3 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #4. The comparables are reported to be improved with 1-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction that range in size from 1,925 to 2,560 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 2006 to 2013. Each comparable has a basement, two with finished area, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 473 to 1,531 square feet of building area. Two comparables have either one or two fireplaces and comparable #2 has a metal utility shed. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$125,434 to \$164,985 or from \$58.77 to \$65.16 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments, for the assessment year in question, of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains six equity comparables for the Board's consideration, as one property was common to both parties. The Board gives less weight to the appellant comparable #3 which is older in age when compared to the subject and other properties in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant comparables #1, #2 and #4 and board of review comparables, including the common property. These properties are generally similar to the subject in location, age and dwelling size but have varying degrees of

similarity to the subject in garage size, finished basement and presence of outbuildings. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$94,423 to \$164,985 or from \$42.10 to \$65.16 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$127,758 or \$50.96 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2.	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikinin	Swah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 20, 2022
	Will 215
	Challe of the Decreate Terr Asset Decrea

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Deborah Fields, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085