

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Sean Freeman
DOCKET NO.: 21-00443.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-26-204-033

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Sean Freeman, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$150,620 **IMPR.:** \$403,355 **TOTAL:** \$553,975

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2.5-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 7,464 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1924 and is approximately 97 years old that has a reported effective age of 1975. Features of the home include a basement with a finished area, central air conditioning, four fireplaces, a garage with 800 square feet of building area and an inground swimming pool. The property has a 24,677 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three suggested equity comparables that are in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within 0.65 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story or 2.5-story

¹ The Board finds the best description of the subject property is found in the property record card provided by the board of review, which disclosed the subject has a 684 square foot inground swimming pool that was not reported nor was it refuted by the appellant.

dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 7,253 to 8,923 square feet of living area that are from 84 to 121 years old. Each comparable has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, two or three fireplaces and a garage ranging from 693 to 1,250 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$312,038 to \$471,926 or from \$42.57 to \$52.89 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$344,588 or \$46.17 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$553,975. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$403,355 or \$54.04 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five suggested equity comparables that are in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within 0.65 of a mile from the subject property. Comparables #4 and #5 are the same properties as the appellant's comparables #1 and #3. The comparables are improved with 2-story, 2.5-story or 3-story dwellings of brick, stucco, brick and wood siding or brick and stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 6,611 to 8,923 square feet of living area that were built from 1905 to 1937 that have effective ages ranging from 1940 to 1977. Each comparable has a basement with a finished area, central air conditioning, two or three fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 460 to 1,039 square feet of building area. Comparables #2 and #4 each have inground swimming pools. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$307,473 to \$471,926 or from \$41.75 to \$54.04 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted six suggested comparables for the Board's consideration, with two comparables being common to both parties. The Board has given less weight to the appellant's comparable #3/board of review comparable #5 and the board of review comparable #3 due to differences from the subject in dwelling size.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 along with the board of review comparables #1 #2 and #4, which includes one common comparable, which are similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, and some features. These most similar comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$307,473 to \$417,921 or from \$41.75 to \$54.04 per square foot of living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$403,355 or \$54.04 per square foot of living area, which falls within the range of the best comparables on an overall improvement assessment and at the higher end of the range on a per square foot basis, which appears to be logical given its superior effective age and inground

swimming pool. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement assessment was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

| 2 | 1. Fer |
|--------------|----------------|
| | Chairman |
| a R | Robert Stoffen |
| Member | Member |
| Dan De Kinin | Sarah Bokley |
| Member | Member |
| DISSENTING: | |

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

| Date: | August 22, 2023 |
|-------|-----------------|
| | 14:1016 |
| | Mano |
| | |

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Sean Freeman, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085