

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Roger & Stephanie Edheimer
DOCKET NO.:	21-00440.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	16-25-303-016

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Roger & Stephanie Edheimer, the appellants, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$103,887
IMPR.:	\$97,827
TOTAL:	\$201,714

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 2,656 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1925 and is approximately 96 years old and has an effective age of 1934. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage with 440 square feet of building area. The property has an approximately 13,260 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellants contend assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellants submitted information on four suggested equity comparables that are in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located within 0.56 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 1.5-story, 2-story or 2.5-story dwellings of brick, stone or stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 2,514 to 2,892 square feet of living area and are 96 to 101 years old. Each comparable has a basement, one with finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging from 294 to 792 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$79,754

to \$96,316 or from \$31.72 to \$34.22 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$88,511 or \$33.32 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$201,714. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$97,827 or \$36.83 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five suggested equity comparables that are in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and located from 0.20 of a mile to 1.09 miles from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of brick or stucco exterior construction ranging in size from 2,454 to 2,847 square feet of living area that were built from 1924 to 1931, with comparables #1, #2 #3 and #4 having effective age ranging from 1929 to 1971. Each comparable has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 209 to 660 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$102,545 to \$131,607 or from \$36.75 to \$50.45 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayers contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave reduced weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #4, due to their unfinished basement when compared to the subject. The Board has given less weight to the board of review comparable #3 due to being located over 1-mile from the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellants' comparables along with the board of review comparables #1, #2, #4 and #5 which are similar to the subject in location, design, dwelling size, age and features. These most similar comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$79,754 to \$131,607 or from \$31.72 to \$50.45 per square foot of living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$97,827 or \$36.83 per square foot of living area, which falls within the best comparables in the record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellants did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement assessment was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

August 22, 2023

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Roger & Stephanie Edheimer, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085