



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Amy Cathlina & Enrique Rodriguez
DOCKET NO.: 21-00302.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-10-321-002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Amy Cathlina & Enrique Rodriguez, the appellants, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$55,503
IMPR.: \$66,951
TOTAL: \$122,454

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 1,454 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1956 and is approximately 65 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, and a 598 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 14,760 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellants contend assessment inequity concerning the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 1-story homes of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 1,494 to 1,610 square feet of living area and ranging in age from 64 to 67 years old. Each home has a basement, one of which has finished area, central air conditioning. One home has a

fireplace and two homes have a 345 or a 506 square foot garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$59,607 to \$63,785 or from \$38.30 to \$40.52 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$122,454. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$66,951 or \$46.05 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on ten equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 1-story homes of brick, wood siding, or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,428 to 1,540 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1955 to 1962 and have effective ages ranging from 1956 to 1976. Each home has a basement, nine of which have finished area, and central air conditioning. Three homes each have a fireplace and nine homes each have one or two garages ranging in size from 280 to 736 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$60,559 to \$78,842 or from \$40.59 to \$52.49 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of fourteen equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellants' comparables #2, #3, and #4 and the board of review's comparables #3 and #5, which each lack finished basement area and/or a garage that are features of the subject. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's comparables #1, #2, #3, #6, and #7, which have substantially newer effective ages than the subject, and the board of review's comparable #9, which has two garages unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity be the appellants' comparable #1 and the board of review's comparables #4 and #5, which are similar to the subject in dwelling size, age/effective age, location, and features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$61,655 to \$74,061 or from \$38.30 to \$50.59 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$66,951 or \$46.05 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellants did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

August 22, 2023



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Amy Cathlina & Enrique Rodriguez , by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC
33 North Dearborn Street
Suite 1850
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085