

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

| APPELLANT: | Sari Hirsch |
|--------------|------------------|
| DOCKET NO .: | 21-00301.001-R-1 |
| PARCEL NO .: | 16-10-314-005 |

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Sari Hirsch, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Lake County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

| LAND: | \$57,181 |
|--------|-----------|
| IMPR.: | \$62,920 |
| TOTAL: | \$120,101 |

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2021 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 1,507 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1956 and is approximately 65 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, and a 368 square foot garage. The property has an approximately 16,220 square foot site and is located in Highland Park, Moraine Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 1-story homes of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,492 to 1,554 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 64 to 70 years old. Each home has a basement and central air conditioning. One comparable has

a fireplace. Two comparables each have a 384 or a 400 square foot garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$59,607 to \$61,211 or from \$39.39 to \$40.20 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$120,101. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$62,920 or \$41.75 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on ten equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 1-story homes of brick, wood siding, or brick and wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,496 to 1,540 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1955 to 1958 with comparables #1 and #2 having effective ages of 1976 and 1962, respectively. Each home has a basement, seven of which have finished area. Seven homes each have central air conditioning, five homes each have one or two fireplaces, and eight homes each have a garage ranging in size from 260 to 598 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$62,380 to \$74,371 or from \$41.70 to \$48.39 per square foot of living area.

The board of review presented a permit history for the subject, noting that the subject's basement was finished and kitchen remodeled in 2013 and a sprinkler system installed in 2014.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains a total of fourteen equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables and the board of review's comparables #4, #6, #7, and #9, which lack finished basement area and/or a garage that are features of the subject. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's comparable #1, which has substantially newer effective age than the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review's comparables #2, #3, #5, #8 and #10, which are similar to the subject in dwelling size, age/effective age, location, and features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from

\$64,383 to \$74,371 or from \$42.33 to \$48.39 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$62,920 or \$41.75 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

August 22, 2023

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Sari Hirsch, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085