



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Josh Sobel
DOCKET NO.: 20-45806.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 04-09-306-020-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Josh Sobel, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss of Schiller Law P.C. in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$9,652
IMPR.: \$53,948
TOTAL: \$63,600

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction containing 2,966 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 16 years old. Features of the home included a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, 2½ bathrooms, and a two-car garage. The property has a 7,425 square foot site located in Northbrook, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity regarding the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four assessment equity comparables consisting of class 2-78 properties improved with two-story dwellings of masonry, frame or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 3,000 to

3,410 square feet of living area.¹ The homes range in age from 22 to 30 years old. Each property has a partial or full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, two or three bathrooms, and a two-car attached garage. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$36,275 to \$42,863 or from \$11.87 to \$13.09 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$37,372.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$63,600. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$53,948 or \$18.19 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four assessment equity comparables that have the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story homes of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,918 to 3,153 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 7 to 19 years old. Each property has a full basement with two having formal recreation rooms, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, 2½ to 4½ bathrooms, and a two-car or a three-car garage. Comparables #2, #3 and #4 are located along the same street as the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$55,479 to \$64,807 or from \$18.40 to \$20.55 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on eight assessment equity comparables that have the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject property to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables #2 and #4 due to differences from the subject dwelling in size as they are approximately 15% and 10% larger than the subject home, respectively. The six remaining comparables range in size from 2,918 to 3,153 square feet of living area and in age from approximately 7 to 30 years old. Appellant's comparables #1 and #3 are approximately 14 and 13 years older than the subject dwelling suggesting each may require an upward adjustment to make them more equivalent to the subject in age. Conversely, board of review comparable #2 is approximately 9 years newer than the subject dwelling suggesting this property may require a downward adjustment to make the comparable more equivalent to the subject in age. These six comparables have similar features as the subject with a primary difference being board of review comparables #1 and #2 have finished basement area, unlike the subject, indicating that these two properties may require downward adjustments to

¹ The appellant submitted copies of photographs of the subject property and the comparables depicting two-story dwellings.

make them more equivalent to the subject for this feature. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$36,275 to \$64,807 or from \$11.87 to \$20.55 per square foot of living area. Board of review comparables #2, #3 and #4 are most similar to the subject in location, being along the same street as the subject property, and have improvement assessments that range from \$55,479 to \$57,158 or from \$18.40 to \$19.07 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$53,948 or \$18.19 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record but is below the range of the comparables most similar to the subject in location. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: _____

August 20, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Josh Sobel, by attorney:
Abby L. Strauss
Schiller Law P.C.
33 North Dearborn
Suite 1130
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602