



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Accurate Financial Services, Inc.
DOCKET NO.: 20-45546.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 17-28-413-001-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Accurate Financial Services, Inc., the appellant(s), by attorney Kevin B. Hynes, of O'Keefe Lyons & Hynes, LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 17,050
IMPR.: \$ 25,300
TOTAL: \$ 42,350

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) after receiving a decision from the Cook County Board of Review. The instant appeal challenges the assessment for tax year 2020. The Property Tax Appeal Board (the "Board") finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject consists of two improvements. Improvement #1 is a two-story dwelling of frame construction with 2,562 square feet of living area. Improvement #1 is 130 years old. Features of Improvement #1 include a full unfinished basement. Improvement #2 is a one-story dwelling of masonry construction with 744 square feet of living area. Improvement #2 is 130 years old. Features of Improvement #2 include a full unfinished basement and a one-car garage. The property's site is 3,875 square feet, and it is located in South Chicago Township, Cook County. Improvement #1 is classified as a class 2-11 property, and Improvement #2 is classified as a class 2-02 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. The subject is owned by a business entity, and, therefore, it is not owner-occupied.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on eight equity comparables for Improvement #1.

The appellant did not submit any equity comparables for Improvement #2. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to \$33,910.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing that the total assessment for the subject is \$42,350. Improvement #1 has an improvement assessment of \$17,497, or \$6.83 per square foot of living area. Improvement #2 has an improvement assessment of \$7,803, or \$10.49 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment for Improvement #1, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables, and 14 sale comparables. In support of its contention of the correct assessment for Improvement #2, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables, and 11 sale comparables.

In rebuttal, the appellant argued that the board of review's comparables were not similar to the subject for various reasons.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proven by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof, and that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

For Improvement #1, the Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be all of the board of review's equity comparables. These equity comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$7.19 to \$8.36 per square foot of living area. Improvement #1's improvement assessment of \$6.83 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. For Improvement #2, the Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review equity comparables #1, #2, and #4. These equity comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$10.71 to \$12.59 per square foot of living area. Improvement #2's improvement assessment of \$10.49 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant has not proven, with clear and convincing evidence, that the subject is inequitably assessed, and that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: February 21, 2023



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Accurate Financial Services, Inc., by attorney:
Kevin B. Hynes
O'Keefe Lyons & Hynes, LLC
30 North LaSalle Street
Suite 4100
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602