

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Debra Hampton
DOCKET NO.: 20-45279.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 31-17-106-008-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Debra Hampton, the appellant, by attorney Eric Feldman, of Eric Feldman & Assoc. P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$3,388 **IMPR.:** \$8,842 **TOTAL:** \$12,230

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a multi-level dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,046 square feet of living area.¹ The dwelling is approximately 34 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a 2-car garage. The property has a 9,682 square foot site and is located in Matteson, Rich Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables that are located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-34, multi-level dwellings of frame exterior

¹ Although the appellant reported 1,059 square feet of living area on the grid analysis, the Board finds the appellant reported 1,046 in section III of the appeal which matches the living square footage reported by the board of review.

construction each containing 1,059 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 33 to 45 years old. Each comparable has a basement with finished area and a 2-car garage. Three comparables each have central air conditioning and three comparables each have one fireplace. Comparable #1 has an unfinished attic. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$6,221 to \$7,332 or from \$5.87 to \$6.85 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$6,653 or \$6.36 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$12,230. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$8,842 or \$8.45 per square foot of living area when using 1,046 square feet of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-34, multi-level dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 898 to 1,046 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 33 to 35 years old. Each comparable has a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$8,982 to \$10,253 or from \$9.54 to \$10.00 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains nine suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #2, #3 and #4 due to their dissimilar age and/or lack of central air conditioning when compared to the subject. The Board gave reduced weight to board of review comparable #1 due to its smaller dwelling size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1 and #5 along with board of review comparables #2, #3 and #4. The Board finds that these comparables are most similar to the subject in location, design, dwelling size, age, and some features. These most similar comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$6,221 to \$10,253 or from \$6.12 to \$9.83 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$8,842 or \$8.45 per square foot of living area, falls within the range of the best comparables in the record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the assessment is not justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
C. R.	asort Steffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING: CERTIF	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do	

hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this

Doto: June 19, 2024

Date: June 18, 2024

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Debra Hampton, by attorney: Eric Feldman Eric Feldman & Assoc. P.C. 53 W. Jackson Blvd. Suite 1622 Chicago, Il 60604

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602