



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Ted Katz
DOCKET NO.: 20-45266.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 04-08-211-006-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ted Katz, the appellant, by attorney Eric Feldman, of Eric Feldman & Assoc. P.C. in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$16,602
IMPR.: \$42,246
TOTAL: \$58,848

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 3,280 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 40 years old. Features include a full basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has a 12,771 square foot site and is located in Northbrook, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity concerning the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same assigned neighborhood code as the subject and within .93 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-78 two-story dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in age from 33 to 51 years old. The

dwellings range in size from 2,826 to 3,367 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a basement, one of which has finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, a fireplace and either a two-car or a three-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$24,358 to \$32,237 or from \$7.33 to \$10.59 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$30,832 or \$9.40 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$58,848. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$42,246 or \$12.88 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject and either the same block or within ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of class 2-78 two story dwellings of frame exterior construction that range in age from 37 to 41 years old. The dwellings range in size from 2,912 to 3,108 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. Comparable #4 also has additional improvements that are not further identified on the record. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$38,150 to \$40,533 or from \$13.01 to \$13.10 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparables #1, #4 and #5 along with board of review comparable #4 due to their differing dwelling sizes and/or additional unidentified improvement when compared to the subject property.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #2 and #3 as well as board of review comparables #1, #2 and #3 which are most similar to the subject in location, design, dwelling size, foundation and some features although appellant's comparable #3 has both finished basement area and a larger garage which necessitate downward adjustments to make the comparable more equivalent to the subject. These comparables have improvement

assessments ranging from \$24,676 to \$40,533 or from \$7.33 to \$13.08 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$42,246 or \$12.88 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in terms of overall improvement assessment, but within the range on a per-square-foot basis which appears to be logical since the subject dwelling is somewhat larger than four of the five best equity comparables on the record suggesting the subject would have a higher overall improvement assessment due to its dwelling size.

Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to each of the best comparables for differences in size, age, finished basement and/or garage size when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: July 16, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Ted Katz, by attorney:
Eric Feldman
Eric Feldman & Assoc. P.C.
53 W. Jackson Blvd.
Suite 1622
Chicago, IL 60604

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602