



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Albert Havenga  
DOCKET NO.: 20-43898.001-C-1  
PARCEL NO.: 28-31-200-003-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Albert Havenga, the appellant(s), by attorney Adam E. Bossov, of the Law Offices of Adam E. Bossov, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$27,343  
**IMPR.:** \$10,157  
**TOTAL:** \$37,500

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a one-story, commercial building utilized as an auto repair shop with 2,326 square feet of building area of masonry construction. The building was constructed in 1968. The property has a 15,625 square foot site located in Tinley Park, Bremen Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 5-22 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$150,000, or \$64.49 per square feet of building area, including land, as of January 1, 2021. The property was inspected on October 25, 2021, and appraiser's opinion is based on the application of the sales comparison approach. The appraiser analyzed five different comparable sales properties that sold between 2018 and 2020. Adjustments were made to the comparable sales properties for

the differences of those properties when compared to the subject property. The sales comparison approach concluded that the subject property had a market value of \$150,000. The appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to a total of \$37,500, when utilizing the 25% level of assessment as determined by the Cook County Real Property Classification Ordinance.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$55,121. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$220,484 or \$94.79 per square foot of building area, including land, when applying the Cook County Real Estate Classification Ordinance level of assessment for class 5 property of 25%. The record reflects that there is no board of review evidence in support of their contention of the correct assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellant submitted a letter asserting that the that the appellant has met the burden of proof. Additionally, appellant requested the board of review be found in default for failing to submit evidence.

The matter was set for a hearing before an ALJ on September 16, 2024. Before the scheduled hearing, the parties entered into a written agreement to waive the hearing and have the matter decided on the evidence that had been submitted.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The threshold question for the Board is whether the board of review's submission of its Notes on Appeal was sufficient to have standing or whether it is in default. The Board finds that when a board of review timely files it "Notes on Appeal," the board of review is not in default.

Rule 1910.40(a) states:

Upon receipt of the completed petition from the contesting party, the Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board shall notify the board of review of the filing of the appeal. Upon notification of the filing of the appeal, the board of review shall submit its completed Board of Review Notes on Appeal disclosing the final assessment of the subject property. The Board of Review Notes on Appeal shall also reflect the application of a local township equalization factor when applicable. The board of review shall also submit a copy of the property record card of the subject property. The property record card should contain, if possible, a schematic drawing of all structural improvements to the land, a completed cost analysis, and an indication of the basis of the land value. The Board of Review Notes on Appeal and all written and documentary evidence supporting the board of review's position must be submitted to the Property Tax Appeal Board within 90 days after the date of the notice of the filing of an appeal unless the board of

review objects to the jurisdiction of the Property Tax Appeal Board over the assessment appeal.

Rule 1910.63(c) states:

Once a contesting party has provided evidence or argument sufficient to challenge the correctness of the assessment of the subject property, the board of review shall be required to go forward with the appeal. The board of review must provide substantive, documentary evidence or legal argument sufficient to support its assessment of the subject property or some other, alternate valuation. Failure to do so will result in a decision by the Property Tax Appeal Board based upon the information submitted by the contesting party and, if applicable, the evidence submitted by any intervening party.

There is no question the board of review complied with Rule 1910.40(a) by submitting its timely one-page Notes on Appeal. It was accepted by the Board and appears complete in form. There is also no question the board of review did not submit assessment and descriptive evidence or legal argument in support of the assessment in accord with the Board's Rules on the type of evidence a party may submit addressing an overvaluation argument. *See* 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c).

Rule 1910.69(a) states:

Failure of any party to comply fully with all rules and/or specific requests of the Property Tax Appeal Board as provided in Sections 1910.30, 1910.40, 1910.60, 1910.65, 1910.67, 1910.68 and 1910.73 shall result in the default of that party. Any party found to be in default pursuant to this Section shall forfeit any right to request, have or participate in any hearing and shall not receive further notice of the proceedings, decisions or rulings of the appeal from the Property Tax Appeal Board. Notice to any defaulted party other than the taxpayer shall be deemed to have been given when served upon the State's Attorney of the county from which the appeal has been taken. (See Section 16-170 of the Code.) Notice of the final administrative decision to any taxpayer in default shall be given in accordance with Section 16-185 of the Code.

The Rules do not specifically state the board of review shall be in default for failure to submit evidence, and the appellant did not supply authority for the proposition that the board of review should be in default. Failure of the board of review to provide evidence or legal argument will result in a decision by the Property Tax Appeal Board based upon the information submitted by the contesting party. 86 Ill.App.Code §1910.63(c). This Rule is not among those listed in Rule 1910.69(a) covering default. In any event, the appellant as the contesting party bears the burden of going forward with substantive, documentary evidence or legal argument. *See* 86 Ill.App.Code §1910.63(b). The appellant's standard of proof is preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e).

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appraisal submitted by the appellant. The appraisal was prepared by an MAI designated appraiser and included adjustments based on his experience and expertise. The record is absent of board of review supporting evidence of their contention of the correct assessment. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of

\$220,484 or \$94.97 per square foot of building area, including land, which is above the appraised value. The Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$150,000, or \$64.49 per square foot of building area, including land, as of the assessment date at issue. Since market value has been established, the 25% level of assessment as determined by the Cook County Real Property Classification Ordinance shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(3).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 15, 2025



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Albert Havenga, by attorney:  
Adam E. Bossov  
Law Offices of Adam E. Bossov, P.C.  
1074 W. Taylor Street  
Suite 407  
Chicago, IL 60607

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602