

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: American Management, LLC

DOCKET NO.: 20-42486.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 30-30-308-044-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are American Management, LLC, the appellant, by attorney William I. Sandrick of Sandrick Law Firm, LLC in Homewood; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$3,165 **IMPR.:** \$20,835 **TOTAL:** \$24,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a three-story multi-family apartment building with 5,974 square feet of gross building area of masonry construction. The building was constructed in 1972 is approximately 48 years old. Features of the building include a concrete slab foundation, individual wall mounted air conditioning units, common laundry area and a 12-space asphalt parking area. The property has an 8,442 square foot site and is located in Lansing, Thornton Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$240,000 as of January 1, 2020. The appraisal was prepared by Ibi Cole, MAI, AI-GRS and Certified General Real Estate Appraiser; James Worden, Certified General Real Estate Appraiser and

Donn S. Calloway, Certified Trainee Appraiser. The intended use of the appraisal report was to support the correct assessed valuation for ad valorem tax purposes. The appraisers utilized both the income and sales comparison approaches to value in order to arrive at the fee simple market value of the subject for purposes of ad valorem tax assessment.<sup>1</sup>

The appraisers stated the subject property is in overall average condition, noting the "main issue with the subject is that it is high in age and lacking in upgrades." The appraisers also noted the subject's roof needs to be replaced. (appraisal p. 42) The report did not include any photographic or any other documentary evidence to support the appraisers' characterization of the subject's roof condition.

Under the income approach to value the appraisers selected rental comparables opining market rents for 1-bedroom, 2-bedroom and 3-bedroom units of \$825, \$1,100 and \$1,200 respectively estimating the subject property has an effective monthly market rent of \$6,150 for the six apartment units. Based on this information, the potential gross income (PGI) was calculated to be \$73,800. Vacancy and collection losses were estimated to be 10% of PGI or \$7,380, which was deducted from the PGI to arrive at an effective gross income (EGI) of \$66,420. The appraisers estimated fixed and variable operating expenses of \$30,561 which was deducted from the EGI to arrive at a net operating income (NOI) for the subject of \$35,859.

In estimating the capitalization rate the appraisers reconciled the Market Derivation, Mortgage and Equity Method and Investor Survey techniques to arrive at an overall capitalization rate of 9.00%. Next the appraisers determined a tax load factor of 5.69% which, when added to the overall capitalization rate results in a loaded capitalization rate of 14.69%. Dividing the subject's estimated NOI by the loaded capitalization rate results in an estimated opinion of value for the subject under the income approach of \$240,000, rounded or \$40,675 per rental unit.

In developing the sales comparison approach the appraisers selected five comparable sales located from 0.72 of a mile to 3.23 miles from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 4,640 to 9,256 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story or 3-story buildings ranging in size from 2,507 to 6,082 square feet of gross building area with either a 4-unit or a 6-unit design. The buildings range in age from 44 to 57 years old. Comparable #3 is reported to have been sold in "As Is" condition. Each comparable has from 4 to 12 parking spaces. The comparables sold from December 2017 to June 2020 for prices ranging from \$130,000 to \$260,000 or from \$32,500 to \$47,500 per rental unit, and from \$42.06 to \$71.40 per square foot of gross building area, land included.

The appraisers applied qualitative adjustments to comparables #3 and #5 for conditions of sale and marketing conditions, respectively, then adjusted the comparables for differences from the subject in condition, land-to-building ratio, parking spaces, number of rental units, unit sizes and unit mix opining comparable #5 to be inferior, comparable #2 to be superior and the remaining three comparables to be overall "balanced" when compared to the subject. The "balanced"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Board found conflicting information in the appraisal report concerning prior appraisals of the subject property by this firm. On page 1 of the cover letter, the appraisers reported the subject was appraised as of January 1, 2018 with an estimated value of \$240,000. However, on page 6 of the report, the appraisers assert that no services have been performed on this property within the prior three-year period of this assignment. (Appraisal, cover letter & p. 6)

comparable sales have an unadjusted per unit sale price ranging from \$32,500 to \$44,750 per rental unit. Based on these three "balanced" comparables, the appraisers estimated an opinion of market value per rental unit for the subject of \$40,000 and a total market value for the subject under the sales comparison approach of \$240,000.

In reconciling the two approaches to value, the appraisers gave primary weight to the income approach to value since the subject property is an income producing property with secondary consideration given to the sales comparison approach. The reconciled estimate of market value for the subject was determined to be \$240,000 as of January 1, 2020.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to reflect the appraised value of the subject when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$32,626. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$326,260 or \$54,377 per rental unit or \$54.61 per square foot of gross building area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on one comparable. The board of review reported this property sold in March 2018 for \$1.00. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellant submitted an appraisal of the subject property and the board of review submitted one comparable sale to support their respective positions before the Board. The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appraisal submitted by the appellant. The Board finds the appraisers developed two of the three traditional approaches to value to arrive at an estimated market value for the subject of \$240,000 or \$40,000 per rental unit as of January 1, 2020. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$326,260 or \$54,377 per rental unit or \$54.61 per square foot of gross building area, including land, which is above the appraised value. The Board has given less weight to board of review comparable #1 with a reported \$1.00 sale price which calls into question the arm's length nature of the transaction. Based on this record, the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$240,000 as of the assessment date at issue. Since market value has been established the 2020 statutory level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10.00% shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fem	
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	October 15, 2024
	111:10)1
	Man O
-	Clark of the December Town Association and

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

American Management, LLC, by attorney: William I. Sandrick Sandrick Law Firm, LLC 1005 W. 175th Street Homewood, IL 60430

#### **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602