

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Aliraza Ashiqali DOCKET NO.: 20-42388.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 10-20-123-014-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Aliraza Ashiqali, the appellant, by attorney G. Scott Bagnall, of the Law Offices of Scott Bagnall in Northbrook; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$962 **IMPR.:** \$37,891 **TOTAL:** \$38,853

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 3-story townhome of frame and brick exterior construction with 1,892 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2018 and is approximately 2 years old. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning and a 2-car garage. The property has a 1,069 square foot site and is located in Morton Grove, Niles Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-95 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on December 18, 2018 for a price of \$388,525. The appellant completed Section IV of the appeal petition disclosing the sale was not between related parties, the property was sold by the developer, the property was advertised for sale in the local paper and on site, and the sale was not due to foreclosure or by contract for deed. In support of the sale, the appellant submitted

copies of a Seller's Closing Statement and a settlement statement, each indicating payment of a broker's commission, and a marketing brochure.

The appellant submitted information on three comparable sales described as attached to the subject or being 2 units from the subject. The comparables have 1,069 or 1,314 square foot sites that are improved with 3-story, class 2-95 townhomes of brick exterior construction with 1,901 square feet of living area. The dwellings are approximately 2 years old. Each home has central air conditioning and a garage. The comparables sold in December 2018 for prices ranging from \$365,520 to \$395,940 or from \$192.28 to \$207.62 per square foot of living area, including land.

The appellant also submitted a brief contending that the subject is located within a 36 townhome development and that the first four sales of these townhomes occurred in December 2018. The appellant argued the Cook County Assessor reduced all residential properties by 10.366% as a COVID-19 adjustment. Thus, the appellant contended the subject's assessment should be reduced to reflect the purchase price, less the 10.366% adjustment. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to \$34,823.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$42,380. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$423,800 or \$224.00 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the level of assessments for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales, one of which is located within 0.25 of a mile from the subject in Morton Grove and two of which are located in Evanston. The parcels range in size from 1,082 to 1,487 square feet of land area and are improved with 2-story, class 2-95 townhomes of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,573 to 1,690 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 7 or 19 years old. Each home has a basement, two of which have finished area, central air conditioning, and a 2-car garage. The comparables sold in September 2018 and July 2019 for prices of \$380,000 and \$395,000 or from \$224.85 to \$244.13 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, the appellant argued two of the board of review's comparables are located in Evanston and more than five miles from the subject, where sales within the subject's neighborhood were available.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Board notes the second comparable is a duplicate of the first comparable. The Board has renumbered comparables #1 through #4 as comparables #1 through #3 for ease of reference.

construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

As a preliminary matter, the appellant requests that the subject's assessment not only reflect its sale price, but also that it be further reduced by a COVID-19 adjustment. The Board distinguishes between a request for relief just because the pandemic occurred ("COVID Relief") and a request based on the pandemic's effect on market conditions or the income-producing capacity of a given property. The former would only require the appellant to show that the pandemic occurred -not that the pandemic affected or contributed to changes in the relevant market or other factors related to the property's assessment. The latter would require the appellant to meet its burden to provide substantive evidence or legal argument sufficient to challenge the property's assessment.

As an administrative agency, the Property Tax Appeal Board only has the authority that the General Assembly confers on it by statute. Spiel v. Property Tax Appeal Bd., 309 Ill. App. 3d 373, 378 (2d Dist. 1999). Consequently, to the extent that the Board acts outside its statutory authority, it acts without jurisdiction. See Bd. of Educ. of City of Chicago v. Bd. of Trustees of Pub. Sch. Teachers' Pension & Ret. Fund of Chicago, 395 Ill. App. 3d 735, 739–40 (1st Dist. 2009). The Board has no statutory authority to reduce assessments solely because the pandemic occurred (i.e., to grant "COVID Relief"). If an appellant presents evidence demonstrating the pandemic resulted in or contributed to a reduction in the subject property's assessment, that may serve as the basis for a reduction, but the appellant is not entitled to a reduction just because the pandemic occurred.

Based on the foregoing, the Board finds the appellant has not presented any evidence demonstrating the COVID-19 pandemic resulted in or contributed to a reduction in the subject property's market value as of the assessment date.

The record contains a total of six comparable sales and evidence of a December 2018 sale of the subject. The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in December 2018 for a price of \$388,525. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant completed Section IV of the appeal petition disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related and the property had been advertised on the open market in a newspaper and on site. In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the settlement statement indicating a broker's commission was paid. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction or to refute the contention that the purchase price was reflective of market value.

Based on this record the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$388,525 as of January 1, 2020. Since market value has been determined the level of assessments for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10% shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code \$1910.50(c)(2).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a de la companya della companya dell	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bolley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

August 20, 2024
M:11216
Clade of the December Toro Associal December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Aliraza Ashiqali, by attorney: G. Scott Bagnall Law Offices of Scott Bagnall 5 Revere Drive Suite 200 Northbrook, IL 60062

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602