

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Cuzins Entertainment LLC

DOCKET NO.: 20-42318.001-C-1 PARCEL NO.: 28-31-103-026-1002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Cuzins Entertainment LLC, the appellant, by attorney Alexia Katsaros, of Katsaros Law, P.C. in Western Springs, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$5,083 **IMPR.:** \$3,917 **TOTAL:** \$9,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a one-story, commercial building of masonry construction with 1,200 feet of building area. The subject is part of a complex that occupies a 207,564 square foot site. It is located in Tinley Park, Bremen Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 5-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant asserts overvaluation in this appeal. In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted evidence disclosing that the subject property was sold on November 26, 2018, for a price of \$30,000, or \$25.00 per square foot of building area. The evidence includes the master statement, the deed from the transaction, and a listing from the realty showing that the property was sold as is for cash only.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject as \$11,293. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$45,712, land included, when using the Cook County Real Estate Classification Ordinance level of assessment for class 5 property of 25%. The board of review submitted no evidence to the Board although it sought and received an extension of time for that purpose.

A hearing was scheduled in this matter before a Board administrative law judge on January 30, 2025, but the parties agreed to waive the hearing and have the matter decided on the basis of the documentary evidence they had submitted.

Conclusion of Law

When market value is a basis of the appeal, the taxpayer must prove the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e); Winnebago County Bd. of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Bd., 313 Ill. App. 3d 1038, 1043 (2d Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment on this basis is warranted.

The appellant presented evidence that the subject property was sold on November 26, 2018, for a price of \$30,000. The appellant filled out Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the PTAB residential appeal form and disclosed that the parties to the transaction were not related, and the property was sold by a realtor, although the appellant did not disclose how long it had been on the market before the sale. The appellant also disclosed that the sale was not due to a foreclosure action. The appellant submitted a copy of the master statement from the transaction, the deed, and a listing from the realtor which states that the property was being sold as is for cash only.

The Board's task in this case is to determine the correct assessment of the subject property. *See* 35 ILCS 200/16-180. Under Illinois law, real property must be valued at its fair cash value, meaning the price that would be paid for it at a fair, voluntary sale where the buyer and seller are both ready, willing, and able to buy and sell, but neither is compelled to do so. <u>Bd of Educ of Meridian Community School Dist. No. 223 v. Ill. Property Tax Appeal Bd.</u>, 2011 IL App (2d) 100068, ¶ 36. A contemporaneous sale of the subject property between parties dealing at armslength is practically conclusive on the issue of whether an assessment reflected the fair cash market value of the property. Gateway-Walden LLC v. Pappas, 2018 IL App (1st) 162714, ¶ 33.

The only evidence in this case is the evidence submitted by the appellant about the sale of the subject on November 26, 2018, for \$30,000. But this evidence reveals that the subject's sale price was likely less than its fair market value because the property was sold as is for cash. The Board concludes from the evidence that the value of the subject as of the relevant date was \$36,000. Accordingly, the appellant is entitled to a reduction, but not as large a reduction as was sought.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 18, 2025
	Midd 214
	Clade of the December Terr Asses I December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Cuzins Entertainment LLC, by attorney: Alexia Katsaros Katsaros Law, P.C. 809 Burlington Avenue 2nd Floor Western Springs, IL 60558

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602