



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Keith Bergeron
DOCKET NO.: 20-40944.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 04-09-108-005-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Keith Bergeron, the appellant; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$18,369
IMPR.: \$33,726
TOTAL: \$52,095

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 2,409 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 55 years old. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a two-car garage. The property is located in Northbrook, Northfield Township, Cook County.¹ The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the subject's improvement as the basis. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on six equity comparables along with photographs and a map of the comparables depicting their location in relation to the subject property. In addition, the appellant submitted a memorandum arguing the

¹ The parties' grid analyses differ as to the subject's land size; however, a reduction in the subject's land assessment was not requested by the appellant.

six comparables have an average improvement assessment (“Equity Ratio”) which is lower than the subject property and provided a list of 50 additional properties with limited property characteristics to further demonstrate the subject is over assessed.²

The appellant’s grid analysis of six equity comparables are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-78, two or more story dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,343 to 2,594 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 50 to 60 years old and have partial or full basements, three of which have finished area. Three comparables each have central air conditioning. Each comparable has one or two fireplaces and a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$24,826 to \$34,535 or from \$10.60 to \$13.83 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject’s improvement assessment to \$31,136 or \$12.92 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$56,829. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$38,460 or \$15.97 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparables with equity and sales data that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property.³ The comparables are improved with class 2-78, two-story dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,164 to 2,812 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 54 or 55 years old and have partial or full basements, two of which have finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$32,289 to \$38,275 or from \$13.61 to \$15.77 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject’s assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject

² The Board will not consider the appellant’s list of additional properties in its final analysis because the record did not contain enough descriptive property characteristics for these properties in order for the Board to conduct a meaningful comparative analysis.

³ The Board will not consider any of the sales information for the subject property or any additional properties presented as evidence by the board of review because it is not responsive to the appellant’s assessment inequity argument.

property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted ten suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #3 and #4 as well as the board of review comparables #1 through #3 due to differences in their dwelling sizes and/or unfinished basements when compared to the subject, which has a finished basement.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables. These comparables are relatively similar to the subject in location, design, dwelling size, age, and have a finished basement, like the subject. These four comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$32,289 to \$34,535 or from \$12.60 to \$13.83 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$38,460 or \$15.97 per square foot of living area which falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: July 19, 2022



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Keith Bergeron
932 Woodbine Ln
Northbrook, IL 60062

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602