



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Aruna Katta
DOCKET NO.: 20-40773.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 27-07-404-011-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Aruna Katta, the appellant, by attorney William I. Sandrick, of Sandrick Law Firm, LLC in South Holland; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$8,833
IMPR.: \$44,822
TOTAL: \$53,655

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 3,936 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 24 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a 3-car garage. The property has a 27,181 square foot site and is located in Orland Park, Orland Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-08 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables consist of 2-story class 2-08 dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 4,006 to 4,345 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 31 to 33 years

old. Each comparable features a full or partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two or three fireplaces, and a 2.5-car, a 3-car, or a 4-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$38,618 to \$42,294 or from \$9.64 to \$9.91 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction to the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$53,655. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$44,822 or \$11.39 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables consist of 2-story class 2-08 dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,850 to 4,451 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 22 to 30 years old. Each comparable features a full or partial basement, two with a formal recreation room. Each comparable also has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a 3-car or a 4-car garage. Comparables #1 and #2 are described as having "other improvements," although the improvements are not specified. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$43,911 to \$59,757 or from \$11.41 to \$13.43 per square foot of living area. The board of review argued that these comparables are closer in age and proximity to the subject than those submitted by the appellant.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven equity comparables in support of their positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables due to their older ages relative to the subject. The Board also gives less weight to board of review comparables #1 and #2 based on each having a formal recreation room in the basement, dissimilar to the subject's unfinished basement. Additionally, these two comparables have "other improvements," albeit unspecified, which the subject lacks. The Board finds the best evidence of equity in assessment to be board of review comparables #3 and #4 as these comparables are most similar to the subject in location, age, design, exterior construction, dwelling size, and features. These most similar comparables in the record have improvement assessments of \$48,834 and \$56,835 or \$11.54 and \$13.41 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$44,822 or \$11.39 per square foot of living area is lower than the most similar comparables in this record both on a per square foot of living area basis and in terms of overall improvement assessment.

After considering all the comparables submitted by the parties with emphasis on those properties with most similar features relative to the subject, and after further considering adjustments to the best comparables for any differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement is inequitably assessed and, therefore, a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 21, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Aruna Katta, by attorney:
William I. Sandrick
Sandrick Law Firm, LLC
16475 Van Dam Road
South Holland, IL 60473

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602