



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Yvette Wilson
DOCKET NO.: 20-40187.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 31-03-203-146-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Yvette Wilson, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$711
IMPR.: \$3,859
TOTAL: \$4,570

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,162 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1979. Features of the home include a slab foundation, central air conditioning, and a one-car garage. The property has an 862 square foot site and is located in Country Club Hills, Rich Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-95 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on 24 equity comparables improved with one-story, class 2-95 dwellings of frame exterior construction that have 1,162 square feet of living area. The homes were constructed from 1977 to 1979. Each comparable has a slab foundation, a one-car garage, and all but three of the comparables have central air conditioning. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the

subject and are located within 0.22 of a mile from the subject property, with eight of the properties are on the same block. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$1,819 to \$3,074 or from \$1.57 to \$2.65 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$2,916.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$4,570. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$3,859 or \$3.32 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables improved with one-story, class 2-95 dwellings of frame exterior construction that have 1,162 square feet of living area. The homes were constructed from 1977 to 1979. Each comparable has a slab foundation and a one-car garage, while two have central air conditioning. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located on the same block as the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$3,093 to \$4,067 or from \$2.66 to \$3.50 per square foot of living area.

In rebuttal, the appellant's counsel argued that 27 of the 28, or 96%, of the comparables offered by both parties support a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The record contains 28 equity comparables submitted by the parties to support their respective positions. Both parties' comparables are nearly identical to the subject dwelling in terms of dwelling size and are similar with respect to style of construction, location, and age, although adjustments to a few of these comparables to account for differences in some features, would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject. These comparables were constructed from 1977 to 1979, have 1,162 square feet of living area, and have improvement assessments ranging from \$1,819 to \$4,067 or from \$1.57 to \$3.50 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$3,859 or \$3.32 per square foot of living area is supported by the best comparables in the record. Based on this evidence and after considering appropriate adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 18, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Yvette Wilson, by attorney:
Jessica Hill-Magiera
Attorney at Law
790 Harvest Drive
Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602