



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Jerome Deany
DOCKET NO.: 20-40180.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 31-26-307-006-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jerome Deany, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$2,670
IMPR.: \$2,116
TOTAL: \$4,786

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,048 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1966 and is 54 years old. Features of the home include a crawl-space foundation, central air conditioning, and a 1.5-car garage. The property has a 10,680 square foot site and is located in Richton Park, Rich Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located within .21 of a mile of the subject and within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables consist of 1-story dwellings ranging in size from 1,050 to 1,216 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 1957 to 1971. One dwelling has central air conditioning, one comparable has a fireplace, and two comparables have either a 1-car or 2-car garage. The parcels range in size from 10,560

to 15,351 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from March 2019 to October 2020 for prices ranging from \$47,000 to \$56,000 or from \$41.12 to \$48.61 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced assessment of \$4,786.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$8,390. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$83,900 or \$80.06 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 10% level of assessment under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales, two of which are located within the subject's assessment neighborhood. The comparables consist of 1-story or 1.5-story dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,034 to 1,160 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 55 to 127 years old. Two dwellings have central air conditioning, one comparable has a fireplace, three comparables each have a basement with two having finished area, and three comparables each have a 1-car, 1.5-car, or 2-car garage. The parcels range in size from 6,208 to 16,200 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from May 2017 to June 2019 for prices ranging from \$95,000 to \$103,000 or from \$81.90 to \$98.94 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellant argued that the board of review comparables differ from the subject in age, location, design, foundation, basement finish, and/or have remote sale dates.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales, or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #3, which differs from the subject in dwelling size. The Board also gives reduced weight to the board of review comparables, which differ from the subject in age, location, design, foundation, and/or sold less proximate to the January 1, 2020 assessment date at issue in this appeal.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable sales #1, #2, and #4, which are similar to the subject in age, location, dwelling size, and some features. These most similar comparables sold from April 2019 to October 2020 for prices ranging from \$47,000 to \$56,000 or from \$43.72 to \$48.61 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$83,900 or \$80.06 per square foot of living area, including land, which is above the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on

this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 18, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jerome Deany, by attorney:
Jessica Hill-Magiera
Attorney at Law
790 Harvest Drive
Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602