

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Fadi Hindo

DOCKET NO.: 20-39341.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-20-309-015-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Fadi Hindo, the appellant(s), by attorney John P. Brady, of Tully & Associates, LTD. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$19,840 **IMPR.:** \$42,572 **TOTAL:** \$62,412

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 3,100 square foot parcel of land improved with a 130-year-old, two-story, frame dwelling containing 2,010 square feet of building area. The property is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County, and is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends that overvaluation is the basis of the appeal. In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted a warranty deed for the recent sale, which occurred on February 1<sup>st</sup>, 2018, for \$550,000.

In addition, the appellant contends the assessment of the subject property as established by the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board for the 2019 tax year should be carried forward to the

2020 tax year pursuant to section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code. (35 ILCS 200/16-185). The appellant disclosed that the subject property is an owner-occupied residence that was the subject matter of an appeal before the Property Tax Appeal Board the prior year under Docket Number 2019-5821.001-R-1. In that appeal, the Property Tax Appeal Board issued a decision lowering the assessment of the subject property to \$62,412 based on an equity argument. The appellant's cover letter asserts that the subject is owner-occupied. However, the appellant's petition section 1b has the box for "No" checked when answering if the subject is owner-occupied.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's 2020 assessment. The subject's 2020 assessment is \$62,412 with an improvement assessment of \$42,572 or \$21.18 per square foot of building area.

In support of the current argument, the board of review submitted three comparables. These comparables are described as two-story, frame dwellings. They range: in age from 120 to 131 years; in size from 1,932 to 2,222 square feet of building area; and in improvement assessment from \$22.90 to \$27.53 per square foot of building area.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant made a contention of law argument based on the previous decision. The appellant argued that 2019 decision should be applied to the 2020 assessment year based on section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) which states in part:

If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel on which a residence occupied by the owner is situated, such reduced assessment, subject to equalization, shall remain in effect for the remainder of the general assessment period as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, unless that parcel is subsequently sold in an arm's length transaction establishing a fair cash value for the parcel that is different from the fair cash value on which the Board's assessment is based, or unless the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board is reversed or modified upon review.

The Property Tax Appeal Board issued a decision reducing the subject's assessment for the 2019 tax year. However, the Board finds the appellant did not prove that the subject is owner-occupied, and the evidence calls into question the subject's occupancy. The appellant's petition disclosed that the subject was not owner-occupied. Therefore, this provision of the tax code does not apply in the instant appeal.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c).

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in March 2018 for a price of \$550,000. The appellant submitted evidence of the sale of the subject,

and the board of review did not show that the sale was not at arm's-length. In addition, the settlement statement includes realty commissions, and the transfer declaration attests that the subject was listed on the open market. Based on this record, the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$550,000 as of the lien date. Since market value has been determined, the level of assessment of 10% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance shall apply, and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fen
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinie	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 23, 2025
	Michl 214
	Clade Sale Decrete Ter Averal Decret

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Fadi Hindo, by attorney: John P. Brady Tully & Associates, LTD. 222 North LaSalle Street Suite 1925 Chicago, IL 60601

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602