

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Steve Harder HB Equities

DOCKET NO.: 20-36588.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 28-36-304-026-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Steve Harder HB Equities, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$7,375 **IMPR.:** \$5,592 **TOTAL:** \$12,967

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame construction with 1,936 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 66 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished full basement, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 19,668 square foot site and is located in Homewood, Bremen Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-05 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the subject's improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable properties that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings ranging in size from 1,900 to 2,129 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1941 to 1953. The comparables have unfinished full basements, and from a 1.5-car to a 3-car garage. Two comparables have central air

conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$1,033 to \$1,464 or from \$.49 to \$.72 per square foot of living area. The appellant's submission revealed the subject as a total assessment of \$12,967. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$5,592 or \$2.89 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$1,330.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing an incorrect assessment for the subject. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable properties that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry construction ranging in size from 1,138 to 1,980 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 54 to 73 years old. Three comparables have full basements, one of which has finished area, and one comparable has a crawl-space foundation. Each comparable has from a 1-car to a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$5,756 to \$9,751 or from \$4.42 to \$5.34 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

The appellant submitted rebuttal critiquing the board of review's submission.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven comparable properties for the Board's consideration, none of which are particularly similar to the subject. Nevertheless, the Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables, as well as the board of review's comparable #4. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$1,033 to \$9,751 or from \$.49 to \$5.29 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$5,592 or \$2.89 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. The Board gave less weight to the board of review's remaining comparables, due to their lack of a basement foundation or their significantly smaller dwelling size when compared to the subject. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
a R	asort Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bobbler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	ICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	June 18, 2024
-	14:1016
	Manon

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Steve Harder HB Equities, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602