

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Vista Investment Partners, LLC - 15324 Dobson

DOCKET NO.: 20-35880.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 29-11-325-012-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Vista Investment Partners, LLC - 15324 Dobson, the appellant, by attorney Noah J. Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$2,584 **IMPR.:** \$10,514 **TOTAL:** \$13,098

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a multi-level dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 1,276 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 53 years old. Features of the home include a basement with finished area and a 2-car garage. The property has a 6,893 square foot site and is located in South Holland, Thornton Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant, through counsel, marked contention of law and lack of assessment equity concerning the improvement as the bases of the appeal. However, the counsel's brief is the same as the inequity argument, uniformity of assessment. In support of the inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property. For clarity in the record, the single comparable on the second grid

was renumbered #5. The comparables are improved with class 2-34, multi-level dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,150 to 1,377 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 47 to 64 years old. Each comparable has a basement with finished area and a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. Four comparables each have central air conditioning. One comparable has a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$3,873 to \$8,394 or from \$2.83 to \$6.42 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$13,098. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$10,514 or \$8.24 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparables that are located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with class 2-34, multi-level dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,104 to 1,256 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 42 to 64 years old. Each comparable has a basement with finished area and a 2-car or a 3-car garage. Two comparables each have central air conditioning. One comparable has a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$9,982 to \$11,418 or from \$8.18 to \$9.53 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables as well as board of review comparables #1 and #3 which are more similar to the subject in location, design, age, and dwelling size with varying degrees of similarity in other features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$3,873 to \$11,418 or from \$2.83 to \$9.09 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$10,514 or \$8.24 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparables #1 and #3 due to differences in age and/or dwelling size when compared to the subject. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

Docket No: 20-35880.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a R	Sobot Steffor
Member	Member
	Swah Bolder
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	July 16, 2024
	14:1016
	Mallon

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

Docket No: 20-35880.001-R-1

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

Docket No: 20-35880.001-R-1

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Vista Investment Partners, LLC - 15324 Dobson, by attorney: Noah J. Schmidt Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 W. Washington St. Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602