

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Ning Ding

DOCKET NO.: 20-35578.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 04-21-100-038-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ning Ding, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Northbrook, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$15,430 **IMPR.:** \$47,865 **TOTAL:** \$63,295

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction containing 3,622 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 40 years old. Features of the home include a partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace, 3½ bathrooms, and an attached two-car garage. The property has a 12,859 square foot site located in Northbrook, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables composed of class 2-78 properties of frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,846 to 3,231 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 44 to 50 years old. Each property has a full or partial basement with one having finished area, central

air conditioning, one fireplace, 2½ or 3 bathrooms, and a two-car attached garage. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$21,821 to \$32,504 or from \$7.67 to \$10.70 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$33,757.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$63,295. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$47,865 or \$13.22 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables each with a 2-78 classification code and improved with a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 3,067 to 3,650 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 40 to 44 years old. Each property has a full or partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace,  $2\frac{1}{2}$  or  $3\frac{1}{2}$  bathrooms, and a two-car garage. The comparables have the same neighborhood code as the subject and are located within the same block or approximately  $\frac{1}{4}$  of a mile from the subject. Their improvement assessments range from \$45,742 to \$56,463 or from \$14.04 to \$16.44 per square foot of living area.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains eight assessment equity comparables submitted by the parties that have the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject property to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables as well as board of review comparable #1 due to differences from the subject dwelling in size being from approximately 11% to 21% smaller than the subject home. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #2, #3 and #4 as they are relatively similar to the subject in size, age and features. Additionally, comparables #2 and #3 are located along the same street and within approximately one block of the subject property. These three comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$45,742 to \$56,463 or from \$14.04 to \$15.47 per square foot of living area. Board of review comparables #2 and #4 are most similar to the subject in size and features with improvement assessments of \$56,463 and \$51,220 or \$15.47 and \$14.71 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$47,865 or \$13.22 per square foot of living area falls within the overall range but below the range on a per square foot of living area basis as established by the best comparables in this record and is well supported by the two comparables most similar to the subject in both size and features. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	L. Fer
	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
	Swah Bobber
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	July 16, 2024
	111.1016
	Mano

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

Ning Ding, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC 40 Skokie Blvd Suite 150 Northbrook, IL 60062

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602