

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Charles Latronico
DOCKET NO.: 20-35021.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 29-34-203-010-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Charles Latronico, the appellant, by Mary Kate Gorman, Attorney at Law in Tinley Park, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$2,520 **IMPR.:** \$4,000 **TOTAL:** \$6,520

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a two-story multi-family dwelling of frame construction containing 1,456 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 97 years old. Features of the home include a crawl space foundation and three bathrooms. The property has a 7,200 square foot site located in Thornton, Thornton Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables improved with 1.5 to 1.9-story or 2-story multi-family dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,937 to 2,200 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 89 to 112 years old. The comparables have full or partial unfinished basements, two bathrooms, and either a 2-car or a 2.5-car detached garage. These

properties have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject and are located from .44 to .59 of a mile from the subject property. Their improvement assessments range from \$2,622 to \$8,037 or from \$1.25 to \$4.15 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$3,276.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$8,781. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$6,261 or \$4.30 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables composed of class 2-11 properties improved with two-story multi-family dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,376 to 2,756 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 53 to 141 years old. Each comparable has a full or partial unfinished basement and two bathrooms. One comparable has central air conditioning and three comparables have a two-car garage. Comparable #1 has the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property while comparables #2, #3 and #4 have different neighborhood codes than the subject. Their improvement assessments range from \$7,773 to \$13,130 or from \$4.66 to \$6.29 per square foot of living area.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted information on seven equity comparables to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to board of review comparables #2, #3 and #4 due to differences from the subject in location and, with respect to comparable #4, age and dwelling size. The four remaining comparables are similar to the subject in location but are improved with homes that are larger than the subject dwelling. Additionally, each comparable has an unfinished basement and a garage, features the subject does not have, suggesting each would require a downward adjustment to make them more equivalent to the subject property for these features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$2,622 to \$9,488 or from \$1.25 to \$4.66 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$6,261 or \$4.30 per square foot of living area falls within the range of the best comparables in this record, however, a reduction in the improvement assessment is appropriate given the superior features of the comparables relative to the subject dwelling. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a R	Sobot Steffor
Member	Member
	Swah Bolder
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	July 16, 2024
	Middle 14
-	Clerk of the Property Tay Appeal Roard

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Charles Latronico, by attorney: Mary Kate Gorman Attorney at Law 18400 Maple Creek Drive Suite 200 Tinley Park, IL 60477

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602