



FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Christine Demos
DOCKET NO.: 20-34128.001-R-1 through 20-34128.004-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Christine Demos, the appellant(s), by attorney Peter D. Verros, of Verros Berkshire, PC in Oakbrook Terrace; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
20-34128.001-R-1	15-21-313-013-0000	1,575	14,562	\$16,137
20-34128.002-R-1	15-21-313-014-0000	1,575	14,562	\$16,137
20-34128.003-R-1	15-21-313-015-0000	1,575	14,562	\$16,137
20-34128.004-R-1	15-21-313-016-0000	1,575	14,562	\$16,137

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2020 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property has four PINs and consists of a 66-year-old, two-story, mixed-use building of masonry construction with 6,500 square feet of living area. Features of the building include six full bathrooms, central air conditioning, and a one-car garage. The property has a 2,625 square foot site and is located in Westchester, Proviso Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a Class 2-12 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales. The comparables were located within a 5.3-mile radius of the subject property, none of which are within the same city as the subject

property. The comparable properties sold between May 2017 and August 2020. The comparable properties ranged: in price between \$149,000 to \$420,000; in building area square footage between 2,750 to 8,545; and in sale price per square foot between \$26.96 to \$87.37, including land. Based on this evidence, appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to \$36,076.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing a prorated assessment for PIN 15-21-313-013-0000 of \$16,137. The total assessment for all four PINs of the subject property is \$64,548. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$645,480 or \$99.30 in market value per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested comparables. The board of review did not submit any information on the proximity of the comparables to the subject property other than that the comparables are in a different town and neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparable properties sold between September 2017 and November 2019. The comparable properties ranged: in price between \$220,000 to \$735,000; in building area square footage between 1,568 to 6,720; and in sale price per square foot between \$104.17 to \$140.31, including land.

Prior to a scheduled June 7, 2024, hearing before a PTAB Administrative Law Judge the parties entered into a written agreement to waive hearing and have a decision rendered based on the previously submitted evidence. The Board granted the waiver of hearing.

Conclusions of Law

The taxpayer asserts that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal, the taxpayer must prove the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.63(e); Winnebago County Bd. of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Bd., 313 Ill. App. 3d 1038, 1043 (2d Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill. Admin. Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment on this basis is not warranted.

The Board concludes that the best evidence of the subject's market value is the appellant's comparable #4 and the board of review's comparables #2 and #4. These comparables sold between July 2017 and November 2019, for amounts ranging from \$53.41 to \$109.38 per square foot of living area, land included in the sale price. The subject property's assessment reflects a market value of \$645,480, land included, or \$99.30 per square foot of living area, which is within the range established by the best comparables in the record. Accordingly, the Board determines that the appellant has not established by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject property was overvalued. Based on the evidence, the Board therefore finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment on this basis is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

September 17, 2024



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Christine Demos, by attorney:
Peter D. Verros
Verros Berkshire, PC
1S660 Midwest Road
Suite 300
Oakbrook Terrace, IL 60181

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602